1. Record Nr. UNISOBSOBE00020029

Autore	Luis de Granada <fray>
Titolo	3
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Madrid : Atlas, 1945
Descrizione fisica	648 p. : 1 ill. ; 26 cm
Collana	Biblioteca de autores españoles . (continuacion) ; 11
Lingua di pubblicazione	Spagnolo
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia

2. Record Nr. UNINA9910456366203321

Autore	Farber Daniel A. <1950->
Titolo	Desperately seeking certainty [[electronic resource]] : the misguided quest for constitutional foundations / / Daniel A. Farber, Suzanna Sherry
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Chicago, Ill., : University of Chicago Press, 2004, c2002
ISBN	1-282-53837-3 9786612538377 0-226-23810-5
Edizione	[Pbk. ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (221 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	SherrySuzanna
Disciplina	342.73
Soggetti	Constitutional law - United States Constitutional law - United States - Philosophy Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 171-202) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- CONTENTS -- PREFACE -- 1 . Of Law and Latkes -- 2 . In the Beginning:Robert Bork and Other Originalists -- 3 . The Formalist Crusade of Antonin Scalia -- 4. Richard Epstein and the Incredible

	Shrinking Government -- 5 . Akhil Amar and the People's Court -- 6 . Bruce Ackerman's Magic Amendment Machine -- 7 . Ronald Dworkin and the City on the Hill -- 8 . Dethroning Grand Theory -- Appendix -- Notes -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Irreverent, provocative, and engaging, Desperately Seeking Certainty attacks the current legal vogue for grand unified theories of constitutional interpretation. On both the Right and the Left, prominent legal scholars are attempting to build all of constitutional law from a single foundational idea. Dan Farber and Suzanna Sherry find that in the end no single, all-encompassing theory can successfully guide judges or provide definitive or even sensible answers to every constitutional question. Their book brilliantly reveals how problematic foundationalism is and shows how the pragmatic, multifaceted common law methods already used by the Court provide a far better means of reaching sound decisions and controlling judicial discretion than do any of the grand theories.