

1. Record Nr.	UNISALENT0991002268899707536
Titolo	A handbook of ancient religions / edited by John R. Hinnells
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge ; New York : Cambridge University Press, 2007
ISBN	0521847125
Descrizione fisica	610 p.; 24 cm
Altri autori (Persone)	Hinnells, John R.
Disciplina	200.901
Soggetti	Religioni - Storia
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Contiene riferimenti bibliografici. Indice
2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910783794403321
Autore	Hiebert Janet <1960->
Titolo	Charter conflicts : what is Parliament's role? / / Janet L. Hiebert
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Montreal, : McGill-Queen's University Press, 2002
ISBN	1-282-86060-7 9786612860607 0-7735-7037-3
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (304 pages)
Disciplina	342.71/085
Soggetti	Civil rights - Canada Legislative power - Canada
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front Matter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- Introduction -- Political Scrutiny of Charter Conflicts -- The Legitimacy Debate -- A

Sommario/riassunto

Although the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms is twenty years old, little is known about how it affects those who wield power, what influence it has on legislative decisions, or to what extent the government believes it should be constrained by Charter concerns. For most laws Parliament has the final word on how social policy is balanced against protected rights. Thus the extent to which legislation is sensitive towards rights depends on how those who develop, propose, and assess policy view the Charter. How influential are governmental legal advisors? How risk averse or risk tolerant are government ministers when pursuing legislative goals that may result in Charter challenges? How capable is Parliament in requiring government to justify and explain legislative choices that may impair rights? In *Charter Conflicts* Janet Hiebert examines these questions while analyzing the Charter's influence on controversial legislative decisions such as social benefits for lesbians and gay men, the regulation of tobacco advertising, the rules of evidence for sexual assault trials, the use of DNA for law enforcement purposes, and the rules for police searches of private residences. She questions the broadly held assumption that only courts are capable of respecting rights, arguing that Parliament shares responsibility with the judiciary for resolving Charter conflicts. She views the Charter's significance less in terms of the judiciary overruling Parliament than in the incentives and pressures it provides for public and political officials to satisfy themselves that legislation is consistent with protected rights.
