

1. Record Nr.	UNISALENT0991000908169707536
Autore	Santilli, Ruggero Maria
Titolo	Foundations of theoretical mechanics I : the inverse problem in newtonian mechanics / Ruggero Maria Santilli
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York : Springer-Verlag, 1978
ISBN	0387088741
Descrizione fisica	xix, 266 p. ; 24 cm.
Collana	Texts and monographs in physics
Classificazione	AMS 70-XX
Disciplina	510
Soggetti	Mechanics-textbooks
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Includes index

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910973083703321
Autore	Hicks Glyn
Titolo	The derivation of anaphoric relations / / by Glyn Hicks
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia, : John Benjamins Pub. Co., 2009
ISBN	9786612105005 9781282105003 1282105000 9789027290007 9027290008
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (327 p.)
Collana	Linguistik aktuell = Linguistics today, , 0166-0829 ; ; v. 139
Disciplina	401/.456
Soggetti	Anaphora (Linguistics) Government-binding theory (Linguistics) Reference (Linguistics) Grammar, Comparative and general
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	The Derivation of Anaphoric Relations -- Editorial page -- Title page -- LCC data -- Table of contents -- Acknowledgments -- Notes for the reader -- Introduction -- 1.1. The classical binding theory -- 1.1.1. Referential properties of DPs -- 1.1.2. The distribution of anaphors and pronouns -- 1.1.3. The binding conditions -- 1.2. Theoretical context of the research -- 1.2.1. A brief history of the binding theory -- 1.2.2. Current theoretical challenges -- 1.3. Organisation of the book -- Binding theory and the Minimalist programme -- 2.1. Introduction -- 2.2. Binding theory in Principles and Parameters -- 2.2.1. Chomsky (1973, 1976) -- 2.2.2. Chomsky (1980) -- 2.2.3. Chomsky (1981) -- 2.2.4. Chomsky (1986b) -- 2.2.5. Summary -- 2.3. The Minimalist programme -- 2.3.1. Core Minimalist assumptions -- 2.3.2. The Derivation by Phase framework -- 2.3.3. Theoretical problems for Derivation by Phase -- 2.3.4. Summary -- 2.4. Binding in Minimalism -- 2.4.1. Theoretical challenges -- 2.4.2. Chomsky (1993), Chomsky & Lasnik (1993) -- 2.4.3. Remaining problems for the Minimalist binding theory -- 2.5. Conclusion -- The binding theory

does not apply at LF -- 3.1. Introduction -- 3.2. Evidence for a syntactic binding theory -- 3.2.1. Theoretical arguments -- 3.2.2. Empirical evidence for a syntactic Condition B -- 3.2.3. Empirical evidence for a syntactic Condition A -- 3.3. Counter-evidence 1: Trapping effects -- 3.3.1. Condition C interacting with anaphor binding -- 3.3.2. Quantifier scope interacting with A-movement across an anaphor -- 3.4. A modification to the analysis of Condition A effects -- 3.5. Counter-evidence 2: Idiom interpretation -- 3.5.1. Subjectless picture-DP idiom chunks -- 3.5.2. Picture-DP idiom chunks containing subjects -- 3.6. Counter-evidence 3: Reconstruction with expletive associates.

3.7. Counter-evidence 4: Reconstruction with bound pronouns -- 3.8. Conclusion -- Eliminating Condition A -- 4.1. Introduction -- 4.2. Encoding binding relations -- 4.2.1. Binding relations derived by movement: Hornstein (2000) -- 4.2.2. Binding relations derived by merger -- 4.2.3. Binding relations derived by Agree -- 4.2.4. Features involved in binding -- 4.3. Implications for the domain problem -- 4.3.1. Phases as local binding domains -- 4.3.2. Some preliminaries on probing -- 4.3.3. Binding in finite clauses -- 4.3.4. Binding in non-finite clauses -- 4.4. Binding at longer distance -- 4.4.1. Teasing apart nonlocal and local binding configurations -- 4.4.2. Complications with picture-DPs -- 4.4.3. Non-binding-theoretic constraints on reflexives -- 4.5. Binding into picture-DPs -- 4.5.1. Binding into a subjectless picture-DP within the same clause -- 4.5.2. Are DPs (LF-)phases? -- 4.6. Anaphor connectivity -- 4.6.1. The interaction of binding and A'-movement -- 4.6.2. The interaction of binding and A-movement -- 4.7. Conclusion -- Eliminating Condition B -- 5.1. Introduction -- 5.2. Empirical evidence for the local domain -- 5.2.1. vP as the local domain -- 5.2.2. DP/nP as a local domain -- 5.2.3. PP as a local domain -- 5.2.4. Implications for non-complementarity -- 5.2.5. Is the PF-phase really relevant? -- 5.3. Motivating Condition B effects -- 5.3.1. Improving on the Minimalist Condition B -- 5.3.2. Possibilities for a reanalysis of Condition B -- 5.4. Condition B as an economy violation -- 5.4.1. Why PF-phases are local domains -- 5.4.2. Is Condition B derivable from Agree after all? -- 5.4.3. Deriving Condition B from other principles -- 5.4.4. Remaining issues -- 5.4.5. Summary -- 5.5. Conclusion -- Extensions to other Germanic languages -- 6.1. Introduction -- 6.2. Dutch -- 6.2.1. Anaphors and pronouns in Dutch -- 6.2.2. Analysis of Dutch anaphors and pronouns -- 6.2.3. Explaining the distribution of SE and SELF anaphors -- 6.2.4. Problems with *zich* -- 6.2.5. Summary -- 6.3. Norwegian -- 6.3.1. Anaphors and pronouns in Norwegian -- 6.3.2. The basic distribution of anaphors and pronouns -- 6.3.3. Extended binding domains and orientation -- 6.3.4. A note on reciprocals -- 6.3.5. Summary -- 6.4. Icelandic -- 6.4.1. Anaphors and pronouns in Icelandic -- 6.4.2. The distribution of *sig* -- 6.4.3. Problems with pronouns -- 6.4.4. Summary -- 6.5. Conclusion -- Conclusions -- 7.1. Summary of the book -- 7.2. Final thoughts -- Bibliography -- Index -- The series *Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today*.

Sommario/riassunto

The Derivation of Anaphoric Relations resolves a conspicuous problem for Minimalist theory, the apparently representational nature of the binding conditions. Hicks adduces a broad variety of evidence against the binding conditions applying at LF and builds upon the insights of recent proposals by Hornstein, Kayne, and Reuland by reducing them to the core narrow-syntactic operations (specifically, Agree and Merge). Several novel and independently motivated claims about syntactic features and phases are made, not only explaining the previously stipulated roles played by c-command, reference, and locality, but

furnishing the derivational binding theory with sufficient flexibility to capture some long-problematic empirical phenomena: These include connectivity effects, 'picture-noun' reflexives in English, and anaphor/pronoun non-complementarity. Specific proposals are also made for extending the derivational approach to accommodate structured crosslinguistic variation in binding, with thorough expositions and analyses of the Dutch, Norwegian, and Icelandic pronominal systems.
