UNISA996387533103316 Argumentum anti-Normannicum, or, An argument proving, from ancient histories and records, that William, Duke of Normandy, made no absolute conquest of England by the sword, in the sense of our modern writers being an answer to these four questions [[electronic resource]] London,: Printed by John Darby, 1682
ancient histories and records, that William, Duke of Normandy, made no absolute conquest of England by the sword, in the sense of our modern writers being an answer to these four questions [[electronic resource]]
London, : Printed by John Darby, 1682
[10], clxiv p., [1] leaf of plates : ill
AtwoodWilliam <d. 1705?=""> CokeEdward, Sir, <1552-1634.></d.>
CookeEdward, of the Middle Temple
JohnsonSamuel <1649-1703.>
PetytWilliam <1636-1707.>
Great Britain History William I, 1066-1087
Inglese
Materiale a stampa
Monografia
"This publication, occasioned by a work of William Pettyt's, entitled Antient rights of the commons of England, 1680, was answered by Brady in his Introduction to old English history. It is by some attributed to Atwood, and by others to Cooke or Johnson." cf. Lowndes. Has also been attributed to Petyt and to Sir Edward Coke. Identified on UMI microfilm and reel guide as variant of C4907 (number cancelled in Wing 2nd ed.). Reproduction of original in the Huntington Library.
Includes bibliographical references.
(from t.p.) I. Whether William the First made an absolute conquest of this nation at his first entrance? II. Whether he cancelled and abolished all the Confessor's laws? III. Whether he divided all our estates and fortunes between himself and his nobles? IV. Whether it be not a grand error to affirm that there were no English-men in the common council of the whole kingdom?
eebo-0113