

1. Record Nr.	UNISA996384740103316
Titolo	By the King. A proclamation against pirats [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	[Imprinted at London, : By the deputies of Robert Barker, printer to the Kings most excellent Maiestie, Anno Dom. 1608 [i.e. 1609]
Descrizione fisica	2 leaves
Altri autori (Persone)	James, King of England, <1566-1625.>
Soggetti	Pirates
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	<p>Imprint from colophon; year given according to Lady Day dating.</p> <p>Threatening their abettors with death, etc.; seeking arrest of J. Ward.</p> <p>Identified as STC 8426a on UMI microfilm reel 1171.</p> <p>Reproduction of the original in the British Library and the Queen's College (University of Oxford). Library.</p> <p>Appears at reel 1171 æ (British Library copy, identified as STC 8426a), and at reel 1305 #7 (Queen's College (University of Oxford). Library copy).</p>
Sommario/riassunto	eebo-0119

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910453540903321
Titolo	Mixed methods and credibility of evidence in evaluation [[electronic resource] /] / Donna M. Mertens, Sharlene Hesse-Biber, editors
Pubbl/distr/stampa	[San Francisco, Calif.], : Jossey-Bass, c2013
ISBN	1-118-72045-8 1-118-72049-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (136 p.)
Collana	New Directions for Evaluation ; ; Number 138
Altri autori (Persone)	MertensDonna M Hesse-BiberSharlene Nagy
Disciplina	001.4
Soggetti	Evaluation - Methodology Mixed methods research Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	"Summer 2013."
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and index.
Nota di contenuto	Mixed Methods and Credibility of Evidence in Evaluation; Copyright; Contents; Editors' Notes; Issue Overview; Acknowledgments; References; 1. Mixed Methods and Credibility of Evidence in Evaluation; What Is Mixed Methods?; Synergy and Mixed Methods Evaluation Designs; Evaluation Paradigms and Mixed Methods; References; 2. Pragmatism, Evidence, and Mixed Methods Evaluation; Deweyan Pragmatism; Intelligent Action; Intelligent Mixed Methods Evaluation and Evidence; What Is Being Mixed?; What Counts as Evidence?; What Makes Evidence Credible?; How Can Evidence Be Utilized? Putting Intelligent Action Into PracticeThe Leadership Academy: A Case Example; Conclusion; References; 3. What Does a Transformative Lens Bring to Credible Evidence in Mixed Methods Evaluations?; Transformative Paradigm; Transformative Axiological Assumption; Transformative Ontological Assumptions; Transformative Epistemological Assumption; Transformative Methodological Assumption; Conclusions; References; 4. Considering the Evidence-and-Credibility Discussion in Evaluation Through the Lens of Dialectical Pluralism; A Brief Overview of Dialectical Pluralism

Evidence-Based Practice and Its Critics; Practice-Based Evidence and Its Critics; Practice Research Networks and Communities of Practice; A Lingering Monism; A Circle of Scientific Evidence and Knowledge Model; Some Questions and Tentative Answers for Evidence-Based Evaluation; References; 5. Thinking Outside the Randomized Controlled Trials Experimental Box: Strategies for Enhancing Credibility and Social Justice; Mixed Methods and RCT; Re-Visioning RCT: Weaving and Shifting a Qualitative Component Into RCT Evaluation Designs; Prior to RCT; During the RCT; Post-RCT Putting Things Together: Evaluation Case Study; Conclusions; References; 6. The Use of Mixed Methods in Randomized Control Trials; Conceptual Issues; The Black Box: Curse or Blessing?; What Are Mixed Methods?; The Use of Different Methods in a Single Study; Examples of Mixed Method Causal-Chain Analysis; Setting Out the Evaluation Framework; Develop Hypothesis and Identify Instrumental Variables; Questionnaire Design and Improved Data Collection; Implementation Failure Versus Design Failure; Fidelity of Evaluation Design; Understand How Something Works (or Why It Does Not) Presenting Results: The Power of the Anecdote; Conclusions; References; 7. The Contribution of Pluralistic Qualitative Approaches to Mixed Methods Evaluations; Using Qualitative Methods in Evaluation; Pluralistic Qualitative Evaluation; Youth Participation in a Youth Inclusion Program; Discussion; References; 8. Establishing Interpretive Consistency When Mixing Approaches: Role of Sampling Designs in Evaluations; Interpretive Consistency; Challenges Affecting Interpretive Consistency; Application of Sampling Criteria: Heuristic Example; Conclusions; References 9. Incorporating Qualitative Evidence in Systematic Reviews: Strategies and Challenges

Sommario/riassunto

Mixed methods in evaluation have the potential to enhance the credibility of evaluation and the outcomes of evaluation. This issue explores advances in understanding mixed methods in philosophical, theoretical, and methodological terms and presents specific illustrations of the application of these concepts in evaluation practice. Leading thinkers in the mixed methods evaluation community provide frameworks and strategies that are associated with improving the probability of reaching the goals of enhanced credibility for evaluations, the evidence they produce, and the actions taken as a res

3. Record Nr.	UNISALENTO991002502249707536
Autore	Futer, David
Titolo	Guts of surfaces and the colored Jones polynomial [e-book] / by David Futer, Efstratia Kalfagianni, Jessica Purcell
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Berlin : Springer, 2013
ISBN	9783642333026
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (x, 170 p.)
Collana	Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 0075-8434 ; 2069
Classificazione	AMS 57N10 AMS 57M25 AMS 57M27 AMS 57M50 AMS 57R56
Altri autori (Persone)	Kalfagianni, Efstratiaauthor Purcell, Jessica
Disciplina	514.34
Soggetti	Mathematics Cell aggregation - Mathematics
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Risorsa elettronica
Livello bibliografico	Monografia