

1. Record Nr.	UNISA996308838003316
Autore	Steinmetz Willibald
Titolo	Begegnungen vor Gericht : Eine Sozial- und Kulturgeschichte des englischen Arbeitsrechts (1850-1925) // Willibald Steinmetz; German Historical Institute London
Pubbl/distr/stampa	De Gruyter, 2002 Berlin ; ; Boston : , : Oldenbourg Wissenschaftsverlag, , [2012] ©2002
ISBN	3-486-70765-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (728 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Collana	Veröffentlichungen des Deutschen Historischen Instituts London/ Publications of the German Historical Institute London ; ; BAND 51
Classificazione	PF 947
Disciplina	340.09
Soggetti	Labor laws and legislation - Great Britain - History
Lingua di pubblicazione	Tedesco
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front Matter -- i. die meistererzählungen und die marginalisierung des individualarbeitsrechts -- ii. die nachlassende attraktivität der gerichte -- iii. schwellen vor dem gang zum gericht -- iv. die gerichtsverhandlung als kommunikationsereignis -- v. definitionskämpfe im gericht -- schluss: die entrechtlichung der arbeitsbeziehungen in england, 1850-1925 -- back matter.
Sommario/riassunto	In April 1909 Charlie Chaplin sued the treasurer of a seaman's mission in West Hartlepool County Court, North East England, for £ 14 and 10 shillings for failing to pay him the agreed wages and rent for a cinematographer and films. The lawsuit of Chaplin, who was just twenty years old and who only later became famous as a film actor, is one of several thousand "encounters in court" that form the starting point and basis for this book. From reports and memos about disputes over wages, layoffs, accidents at work and breaches of contract, a novel and exciting story of English labour law in the industrial age is created. For the first time, Willibald Steinmetz examines the practice of the lower courts in labour disputes and lets not only lawyers, but also laypeople, complainants and employers, have their say. Class judges, high costs, obscure procedures, embarrassing cross-examination were just some of the reasons that gave English workers little chance of success in

court.
