

1.	Record Nr.	UNISA990006040250203316
	Autore	CICERO, Marcus Tullius
	Titolo	M. Tullii Ciceronis pro A. Licinio Archia poeta : oratio / cum animadversionibus italicis J. B. Bellissimae
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Salerno, : In aedibus Antonii Volpe & Socii, 1903
	Descrizione fisica	35 p. ; 24 cm
	Disciplina	875
	Collocazione	XV.2.MISC. 136
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Italiano
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
2.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910988284403321
	Autore	Freeman James B
	Titolo	Adequate Connections : Assessing Argument Ground Adequacy / / by James B. Freeman
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham : , : Springer Nature Switzerland : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2025
	ISBN	9783031764776 3031764773
	Edizione	[1st ed. 2025.]
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XIII, 179 p. 12 illus.)
	Collana	Argumentation Library, , 2215-1907 ; ; 38
	Disciplina	160
	Soggetti	Logic Philosophical Logic
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Nota di contenuto	Connection Adequacy and the Concept of Warrant -- Identifying the Warrant of an Argument -- What Types of Warrants Are There? -- Conclusive A Priori Warrants -- Defeasible Warrants and Probability -- Defeasible A Posteriori Warrants I: Empirical Warrants -- Defeasible A

Posteriori Warrants II: Personal Warrants -- Defeasible A Posteriori
Warrants III: Institutional Warrants -- Defeasible A Priori Warrants --
Virtually Conclusive A Posteriori Warrants -- Determining Whether a
Particular Connection is Adequate.

Sommario/riassunto

This book presents a comprehensive picture of when the premises of an argument are adequately connected to its conclusion. The author draws upon the familiar Toulmin model, Rescher's discussion of presumption and burden of proof, and L. Jonathan Cohen's presentation of the method of relevant variables. The book first assesses the warrant or inference rule connecting the premises to the conclusion. To analyze this, the author asks a series of questions such as - should the warrant be evaluated by conclusive or defeasible standards? Does the argument require that its premises, if acceptable, guarantee that the conclusion is acceptable also or does it allow the premises just to present a body of relevant evidence? Is the inference rule backed or supported a priori or a posteriori? These distinctions form four categories of warrants: conclusive a priori, defeasible a posteriori, defeasible a priori, and virtually conclusive a posteriori. The warrants in each category are evaluated differently for how strongly the premises support the conclusion of arguments instantiating those warrants. After presenting the rationale for this division and discussing our nonprobabilistic approach, the author analyzes the connection adequacy for each of these types of warrants. This book is of interest to scholars of argumentation theory.
