Record Nr. UNISA990000693760203316 Autore GRASSO, Enzo **Titolo** La stagione della violenza : romanzo / Enzo Grasso ; con uno scritto d Dante Maffia Pubbl/distr/stampa Valverde: Pellicanolibri, 1987 88-85881-05-X **ISBN** Descrizione fisica 170 p.; 22 cm Collana Inediti rari e diversi Disciplina 853.9 Collocazione VI.3.A. 2859(V A COLL 174 BIS 8) Lingua di pubblicazione Italiano **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Record Nr. UNINA9910141359303321 **Autore** Fickelscherer Richard J **Titolo** Optimal automated process fault analysis [[electronic resource] /] / Richard J. Fickelscherer; Daniel L. Chester Hoboken, N.J., : John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 2013 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-118-48195-X 1-283-91735-1 1-118-48193-3 1-118-48196-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (226 p.) Altri autori (Persone) ChesterDaniel L Disciplina 660.2815 660/.2815 670

Chemical process control - Data processing Fault location (Engineering) - Data processing

Inglese

Monografia

Materiale a stampa

Soggetti

Formato

Lingua di pubblicazione

Livello bibliografico

Note generali

"AIChE."

Nota di bibliografia

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Nota di contenuto

Optimal Automated Process Fault Analysis; Contents; Foreword; Preface; Acknowledgments; 1 Motivations for Automating Process Fault Analysis; 1.1 Introduction; 1.2 CPI Trends to Date; 1.3 The Changing Role of Process Operators in Plant Operations; 1.4 Methods Currently Used to Perform Process Fault Management; 1.5 Limitations of Human Operators in Performing Process Fault Management; 1.6 The Role of Automated Process Fault Analysis: 1.7 Anticipated Future CPI Trends: 1.8 Process Fault Analysis Concept Terminology; References; 2 Method of Minimal Evidence: Model-Based Reasoning; 2.1 Overview 2.2 Introduction 2.3 Method of Minimal Evidence Overview: 2.3.1 Process Model and Modeling Assumption Variable Classifications; 2.3.2 Example of a MOME Primary Model; 2.3.3 Example of MOME Secondary Models: 2.3.4 Primary Model Residuals' Normal Distributions: 2.3.5 Minimum Assumption Variable Deviations; 2.3.6 Primary Model Derivation Issues; 2.3.7 Method for Improving the Diagnostic Sensitivity of the Resulting Fault Analyzer; 2.3.8 Intermediate Assumption Deviations, Process Noise, and Process Transients; 2.4 Verifying the Validity and Accuracy of the Various Primary Models; 2.5 Summary References 3 Method of Minimal Evidence: Diagnostic Strategy Details: 3.1 Overview; 3.2 Introduction; 3.3 MOME Diagnostic Strategy; 3.3.1 Example of MOME SV&PFA Diagnostic Rules' Logic; 3.3.2 Example of Key Performance Indicator Validation; 3.3.3 Example of MOME SV&PFA Diagnostic Rules with Measurement Redundancy; 3.3.4 Example of MOME SV&PFA Diagnostic Rules for Interactive Multiple-Faults; 3.4 General Procedure for Developing and Verifying Competent Model-Based Process Fault Analyzers; 3.5 MOME SV&PFA Diagnostic Rules' Logic Compiler Motivations; 3.6 MOME Diagnostic Strategy Summary References 4 Method of Minimal Evidence: Fuzzy Logic Algorithm; 4.1 Overview; 4.2 Introduction; 4.3 Fuzzy Logic Overview; 4.4 MOME Fuzzy Logic Algorithm; 4.4.1 Single-Fault Fuzzy Logic Diagnostic Rule; 4.4.2 Multiple-Fault Fuzzy Logic Diagnostic Rule; 4.5 Certainty Factor Calculation Review; 4.6 MOME Fuzzy Logic Algorithm Summary; References; 5 Method of Minimal Evidence: Criteria for Shrewdly Distributing Fault Analyzers and Strategic Process Sensor Placement; 5.1 Overview; 5.2 Criteria for Shrewdly Distributing Process Fault Analyzers; 5.2.1 Introduction 5.2.2 Practical Limitations on Target Process System Size5.2.3 Distributed Fault Analyzers: 5.3 Criteria for Strategic Process Sensor Placement; References; 6 Virtual SPC Analysis and Its Routine Use in FALCONEERTM IV; 6.1 Overview; 6.2 Introduction; 6.3 EWMA Calculations and Specific Virtual SPC Analysis Configurations; 6.3.1 Controlled Variables; 6.3.2 Uncontrolled Variables and Performance Equation Variables; 6.4 Virtual SPC Alarm Trigger Summary; 6.5 Virtual SPC Analysis Conclusions: References: 7 Process State Transition Logic

Sommario/riassunto

Automated fault analysis is not widely used within chemical processing industries due to problems of cost and performance as well as the difficulty of modeling process behavior at needed levels of detail. In response, this book presents the method of minimal evidence (MOME), a model-based diagnostic strategy that facilitates the development and implementation of optimal automated process fault analyzers. With this book as their guide, readers have a powerful new tool for ensuring the safety and reliability of any chemical processing system.

and Its Routine Use in FALCONEERTM IV

7.1 Temporal Reasoning Philosophy