

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9911148963403321
Titolo	Assessing research-doctorate programs : a methodology study / / Jeremiah P. Ostriker and Charlotte V. Kuh, editors, assisted by James A. Voytuk ; Committee to Examine the Methodology for the Assessment of Research-Doctorate Programs, Policy and Global Affairs Division, National Research Council of the National Academies
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C., : The National Academies Press, c2003
ISBN	9786610179466 9781280179464 1280179465 9780309527088 0309527082
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	xii, 165 p
Altri autori (Persone)	OstrikerJ. P KuhCharlotte V VoytukJames A
Disciplina	507/.2/073
Soggetti	Research - United States - Evaluation Doctor of philosophy degree - United States - Evaluation Science - Study and teaching (Higher) - United States - Evaluation Engineering - Study and teaching (Higher) - United States - Evaluation Humanities - Study and teaching (Higher) - United States - Evaluation
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	FrontMatter -- Acknowledgments -- Contents -- List of Tables and Charts -- Executive Summary -- 1 Introduction -- 2 How the Study Was Conducted -- 3 Taxonomy -- 4 Quantitative Measures -- 5 Student Education and Outcomes -- 6 Reputation and Data Presentation -- 7 General Conclusions and Recommendations -- References -- Appendixes -- Appendix A Committee and Panel Member Biographical Sketches -- Appendix B Program-Initiation Consultation with Organizations -- Appendix C Meetings and Participants -- Appendix D Sample Questionnaires -- Appendix E

Taxonomy of Fields and Their Subfields -- Appendix F Fields for Ph.D.s
Granted During 1996-2001 -- Appendix G Technical and Statistical
Techniques -- Alternate Ways to Present Rankings: Random Halves and
Bootstrap Methods -- Correlates of Reputation Analysis.

Sommario/riassunto

How should we assess and present information about the quality of research-doctorate programs? In recommending that the 1995 NRC rankings in *Assessing the Quality of Research-Doctorate Programs: Continuity and Change* be updated as soon as possible, this study presents an improved approach to doctoral program assessment which will be useful to administrators, faculty, and others with an interest in improving the education of Ph.D.s in the United States. It reviews the methodology of the 1995 NRC rankings and recommends changes, including the collection of new data about Ph.D. students, additional data about faculty, and new techniques to present data on the qualitative assessment of doctoral program reputation. It also recommends revision of the taxonomy of fields from that used in the 1995 rankings.
