Record Nr. UNINA9911018807803321 Autore Press S. James **Titolo** The subjectivity of scientists and the Bayesian approach / / S. James Press, Judith M. Tanur; illustrated by Rachel D. Tanur New York, : Wiley, 2001 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 9786613446107 9781283446105 1283446103 9781118150634 1118150635 9781118150627 1118150627 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (295 p.) Collana Wiley series in probability and statistics. Texts, references, and pocketbooks section TanurJudith M Altri autori (Persone) Disciplina 507/.2 Soggetti Research - Methodology Bayesian statistical decision theory Subjectivity Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali "A Wiley-Interscience publication." Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and indexes. The Subjectivity of Scientists and the Bayesian Approach; Contents: Nota di contenuto Preface: 1. Introduction: 2. Selecting the Scientists: 3. Some Well-Known Stories of Extreme Subjectivity; 3.1 Introduction; 3.2 Johannes Kepler; 3.3 Gregor Mendel; 3.4 Robert Millikan; 3.5 Cyril Burt; 3.6 Margaret Mead; 4. Stories of Famous Scientists; 4.1 Introduction; 4.2 Aristotle; 4.3 Galileo Galilei; 4.4 William Harvey; 4.5 Sir Isaac Newton; 4.6 Antoine Lavoisier; 4.7 Alexander von Humboldt; 4.8 Michael Faraday: 4.9 Charles Darwin: 4.10 Louis Pasteur: 4.11 Sigmund Freud: 4.12 Marie Curie; 4.13 Albert Einstein 4.14 Some Conjectures About the Scientists5. Subjectivity in Science in Modern Times: The Bayesian Approach; Appendix: References by Field of Application for Bayesian Statistical Science; Bibliography; Subject Index; Name Index

Comparing and contrasting the reality of subjectivity in the work of

Sommario/riassunto

history's great scientists and the modern Bayesian approach to statistical analysisScientists and researchers are taught to analyze their data from an objective point of view, allowing the data to speak for themselves rather than assigning them meaning based on expectations or opinions. But scientists have never behaved fully objectively. Throughout history, some of our greatest scientific minds have relied on intuition, hunches, and personal beliefs to make sense of empirical data-and these subjective influences have oft