Record Nr. UNINA9910975328203321 Autore Hoelbeek Thomas Titolo The evolution of complex spatial expressions within the Romance family: a corpus-based study of French and Italian / / by Thomas Hoelbeek Leiden; ; Boston:, : Brill, , [2017] Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 9789004314580 900431458X Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (263 pages): illustrations, tables Collana Brill's studies in historical linguistics;; v. 7 Disciplina 445 Soggetti Romance languages - Grammar, Comparative Romance languages - Semantics French language - Grammar, Comparative - Italian Italian language - Grammar, Comparative - French Historical linguistics Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia "This book is a revised version of my Ph. D. dissertation, which I Note generali defended at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel on April 28, 2014." Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Preliminary Material -- Introduction -- On French and Italian Complex Spatial Expressions -- Data Collection and Research Methodology --Interpretative Framework -- Results of the French Analysis -- Results of the Italian Analysis -- Contrastive Analysis -- Conclusion --Bibliography -- Cited Text Index -- Author Index -- Subject Index. In The Evolution of Complex Spatial Expressions within the Romance Sommario/riassunto Family, Thomas Hoelbeek offers a corpus-based historical study of a group of expressions in French and Italian. Applying a functional approach, he tackles adpositions containing the French noun travers or the Italian noun traverso, previously never analysed from a diachronic perspective. This study enriches our knowledge of the expressions analysed and their functioning in the past, but also in present-day French and Italian, providing diachronic observations regarding functional notions put to the test. Thomas Hoelbeek's work also contributes to a better understanding of the grammaticalisation

mechanisms of complex constructions, and shows that typologically

related languages may evolve differently in their ways of representing

space.