1. Record Nr. UNINA9910975151703321

Autore Vandenbussche Jerome

Titolo Elements of Optimal Monetary Policy Committee Design / / Jerome Vandenbussche

Pubbl/distr/stampa Washington, D.C. : , : International Monetary Fund, , 2006

ISBN 9786613827470
9781462304387
1462304389
9781452717845
1452717842
9781283515023
1283515024
9781451909906
145190990X

Edizione [1st ed.]

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (40 p.)

Collana IMF Working Papers

Soggetti Committees
Monetary policy - Decision making
Banking
Banks and Banking
Banks and banking
Banks
Central bank legislation
Central Banks and Their Policies
Communications in revenue administration
Deflation
Depository Institutions
Inflation
Macroeconomics
Micro Finance Institutions
Mortgages
Price Level
Prices
Public finance & taxation
Public Finance
Revenue
Tax incentives
Taxation

	Taxation, Subsidies, and Revenue: General United Kingdom
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	"December 2006."
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	""Contents""; ""I. INTRODUCTION""; ""II. A LARGE DIVERSITY OF MONETARY POLICY COMMITTEES""; ""III. NORMATIVE ISSUES IN MONETARY POLICY COMMITTEE DESIGN""; ""IV. PREFERENCE AGGREGATION IN MONETARY POLICY COMMITTEES""; ""V. BELIEF AGGREGATION IN MONETARY POLICY COMMITTEES""; ""VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS""; ""VII. REFERENCES""
Sommario/riassunto	The move from individual decision making to committee decision making is widely seen as a major evolution in contemporary central banking. This paper reviews the relevant economics and social psychology literatures with a view to providing some insights into the question of optimal monetary policy committee design. While the preference aggregation literature points to the effect of committee structure on the extent of the time inconsistency problem and its associated costs, the belief aggregation literature analyzes how different committee structures affect the efficiency of information pooling, the process of social influence, and collective accuracy. In conclusion, we highlight the main tradeoffs that the analysis has brought to light and point to directions for future research.