Record Nr. UNINA9910350240703321 Autore Zang Leizhen Titolo Re-understanding of Contemporary Chinese Political Development [[electronic resource]]: Qualitative-Quantitative Analysis / / by Leizhen Zang Singapore:,: Springer Singapore:,: Imprint: Springer,, 2019 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 981-13-1250-8 Edizione [1st ed. 2019.] 1 online resource (172 pages) Descrizione fisica Disciplina 320.951 Soggetti Asia-Politics and government Economic development—Environmental aspects **Asian Politics** Development and Sustainability Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di contenuto Chapter 1 Balancing local concerns and global views: Western administrative theory in China's reform practices -- Chapter2 The special-issue-oriented governance and its practice in China --Chapter3 The economic impact of anti-corruption campaigns: new materials for new research agendas in China -- Chapter4 Are Chinese college graduates satisfied with serving as village officials? An evaluation with nationwide survey data -- Chapter5 Kindergarten matters: second birth intention of floating population in Chinese urbanization process -- Chapter6 Does computer penetration increase framers' income? An empirical study from China -- Chapter7 Ruralurban effect gap of time spent online on voter turnout in China: evidence from the 2010/2014 national survey -- Chapter8 Political

strategy in China.

Sommario/riassunto

This book provides the solid and detailed evidence for some debated theories in Chinese studies, based on quantitative-qualitative analysis or mixed methods, which is quite novel compared with the extant work through qualitative or quantitative research alone. In addition, the country's latest particular political phenomena, such as anti-corruption

legitimacy beyond electoral democracy: the crafty internet application

campaigns in the Xi Jinping era or career satisfaction of graduate village officials, have been carefully studied. What's more, the current situation of political development and participation in the Internet era is fully discussed from a comparative historical perspective. Such examples as the cybereconomy of Chinese rural areas together with the regional differentiation of voting behaviors between rural and urban areas are the focus of investigation. Meanwhile, the legitimacy and characteristics of China's governance, and government reforms along with the adaptability of foreign theories are also illustrated in this book.

Record Nr. UNINA9910975097903321

Titolo Controversy and confrontation: relating controversy analysis with

argumentation theory / / edited by Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen

Pubbl/distr/stampa Amsterdam;; Philadelphia,: John Benjamins Pub., c2008

ISBN 9786612104695

9781282104693 1282104691 9789027290878 9027290873

Edizione [1st ed.]

Descrizione fisica xiii, 278 p. : ill

Collana Controversies, , 1574-1583; ; v. 6

Altri autori (Persone) EemerenF. H. van <1946-> (Frans Hendrik)

GarssenBart

Disciplina 808.53

Soggetti Debates and debating

Logic

Persuasion (Rhetoric)

Pragmatics Reasoning

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index.

Nota di contenuto Controversy and Confrontation -- Editorial page -- Title page -- LCC

data -- Table of contents -- Preface -- List of contributors -- Controversy and confrontation in argumentative discourse -- 1.

Controversies as argumentative confrontations -- 2. Argumentative confrontations in a dialectical perspective -- 3. Connections between argumentation theory and the analysis of controversies -- 4. Exploring the prospects of joint efforts -- References -- Dichotomies and types of debate -- 1. Introduction* -- 2. Dichotomy and division -- 3. Plato's predicament -- 4. Dichotomies as strategic argumentative tools -- 5. Dichotomization and de-dichotomization in debate -- 5.1 Natural right vs. historicism -- 5.2 Fact vs. value -- 5.3 Combining dichotomization with de-dichotomization? -- 6. Dichotomization at the meta-level -- 7. De-dichotomization at the meta-level -- 8. Re-dichotomizing a dedichotomized triad? -- References -- Charles Darwin versus George Mivart -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Analytical tools -- 3. The concrete case -- 3.1 The problems -- 3.1.1 Darwin's problem -- 3.1.2 Mivart's problem -- 3.2 Answers -- 3.2.1 Darwin's answer -- 3.2.2 Mivart's answer -- 3.3 Motivations -- 3.3.1 Darwin's motivations -- 3.3.2 Mivart's motivations -- 3.4 Presuppositions -- 3.4.1 Darwin's presuppositions -- 3.4.2 Mivart's presuppositions -- 3.5 General argument -- 3.5.1 Darwin's general argument -- 3.5.2 Mivart's argument -- 3.6 Argumentative strategies -- 3.6.1 Darwin's argumentative strategies -- 3.6.2 Mivart's argumentative strategies --3.7 Objections and responses -- 3.7.1 Mivart's objections -- 3.7.2 Darwin's responses -- 4. Conclusion -- References -- Scientific demarcation and metascience -- 1. The NAS and the Nature of Science -- 2. Climate change science in a metascientific vacuum: A hermeneutical thought experiment -- References. Reforming the Jews, rejecting marginalization -- 1. Introduction -- 2. The debate -- 3. Friedländer's arguments -- 3.1 The critique -- 3.2 The project -- 3.3 Vernnftelei: Conversion and the "sophistic" rejection of religious ceremonies -- 4. Teller's answer: A polite rebuttal -- 5. Schleiermacher's refutation -- 5.1 The death of judaism -- 6. The freedom of religious choice -- 7. Controversy and debate in the age of reason: Strategies and realities -- References --Communication principles for controversies -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Types of communication principles -- 3. Properties of communication principles and their contexts of application -- 4. The principle of point-by-point refutation -- 5. Politeness principles -- 6. Conclusion -- References -- On the role of pragmatics, rhetoric and dialectic in scientific controversies -- 1. Introduction -- 2. The model -- 3. The pragmatic, rhetorical and dialectical uses of natural language in the practice of science -- 4. An example of scientific controversy -- 5. Concluding remarks -- References -- A "dialectic ladder" of refutation and dissuasion -- 1. Introduction* -- 2. Refutation and dissuasion in conflict situations -- 3. The Dissuasion Model -- 4. Culmination and crisis of the Dissuasion Model -- 5. A reforming ladder -- 6. Conclusion -- References -- Responding to objections -- 1. Background: The Intuition* -- 2. Possible ways of responding to an objection -- 3. The identity of an argument -- 4. Some examples -- 5. The fertility of an argument -- 6. Conclusion -- References --Pragmatic inconsistency and credibility -- 1. Introduction* -- 2. Critical discussion -- 3. Inconsistency in a critical discussion -- 4. Rhetorical and dialectical aims in argumentative practice -- 5. Inconsistency in argumentative practice -- 6. Three uses of pointing out a pragmatic inconsistency. 7. Pointing out a pragmatic inconsistency as a form of strategic

7. Pointing out a pragmatic inconsistency as a form of strategic manoeuvring -- 8. Soundness conditions -- 9. Conclusion -- References -- Reasonableness in confrontation -- 1. Aims -- 2. The conventional validity of the pragma-dialectical discussion rules -- 3. The unreasonableness of ad hominem fallacies -- 4. The strategy of

convergent operationalism -- 4.1 The first method: Adding ad hominem indicators -- 4.2 The second method: Manipulation of discussion contexts -- 4.3 The third method: Justifications of reasonableness judgments -- 4.4 The fourth method: Fallacious vs. non-fallacious personal attacks -- 4.5 The fifth method: A statistical removal of the co-variate politeness -- 5. An exploration: The relationship between reasonableness and persuasiveness -- References -- Managing disagreement space in multiparty deliberation -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Background and analytic approach -- 2.1 The setting -- 2.2 The opening speech -- 2.3 Disagreement management -- 2.4 Commitments and obligations in proposing -- 2.5 Initiating and completing proposals -- 3. Analysis -- 3.1 Expanding disagreement in multi-party deliberation -- 3.2 Summary -- 3.3 Managing disagreement expansion in multiparty deliberation -- 3.4 Orienting to patterns for reasoning about proposals -- 3.5 Framing interaction and the meeting event -- 3.6 Re-framing the opening speech as an incomplete proposal -- 4. Discussion and conclusion -- References --Predicaments of politicization in the debate over abstinence-only sex education -- 1. Theoretical background -- 2. Predicaments -- 3. Case study: The controversy over the "science of abstinence" -- 3.1 Section by section commentary -- 3.2 Marburger's case -- 3.3 Managing the disagreement space around abstinence-only sex education -- 3.4 Managing the "politicization" disagreement space. 3.5 The complexity of interlocking disagreement spaces -- 4. Conclusion -- References -- Rhetoric of science, pragma-dialectics, and science studies -- 1. Science studies and rhetoric of science -- 2. Pera's 'rhetoric' of science -- 3. The pragma-dialectical potential for science studies -- 4. Terrains of applicability -- 5. Conclusion --References -- Scientific controversies and the pragma-dialectical model -- 1. Incorporating argument-analysis into the study of scientific debates* -- 2. Background to the Newton-Lucas correspondence -- 3. Rhetorical accounts of the controversy -- 4. Shortcomings of rhetorical approaches and advantages of dialectical models -- 5. The reconstruction and analysis of Lucas' first letter: The issues -- 6. Earlier evaluations of Lucas's critique -- 7. Evaluating evaluations of historians -- 7.1 Issue (1): The elongation of the image -- 7.2 Issue (2): The shape of the image -- 7.3 Issue (3): The Newtonian theory -- 7.4 The role of experiments -- 7.5 Benefits of a detailed reconstruction -- 8. Newton's first answer -- 8.1 Responding to issues (1) and (2): Taking up the challenge -- 8.2 Difficulties of incorporating rhetorical insights in the pragma-dialectical model -- 9. Not responding to issue (3): Newton's manoeuvring -- 9.1 Radical contextualization of methodology -- 9.2 The opening stage - a precursor to the argumentation stage? --10. Conclusion -- References -- Index -- The series Controversies.

Sommario/riassunto

The essays that are collected in Controversy and Confrontation provide a closer insight into the relationship between controversy and confrontation that deepens our understanding of the functioning of argumentative discourse in managing differences of opinion. Their authors stem from two backgrounds. First, the controversy scholars Dascal, Marras, Euli, Regner, Ferreira, and Lessl discuss historical controversies in science, both from a theoretical and an empirical perspective; Saim concentrates on a historical controversy; Fritz provides a historical perspective on controversies by analyzing communication principles. Second the argumentation scholars Johnson, van Laar, van Eemeren, Garssen and Meuffels address theoretical or empirical aspects of argumentative confrontation; Aakhus and Vasilyeva examine argumentative discourse from the perspective of conversation analysis; Jackson analyzes argumentative confrontation in

a recent debate between scientists and politicians. Last but not least, two contributors, Kutrovátz and Zemplén, make an attempt to bridge the study of historical controversy and the study of argumentation.