

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910973229403321
Autore	Flttum Kjersti
Titolo	Academic voices : across languages and disciplines // Kjersti Flttum, Trine Daho, Torodd Kinn
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia, : J. Benjamins Pub. Co., 2006
ISBN	9786612155789 9781282155787 1282155784 9789027293480 9027293481
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	ix, 309 p
Collana	Pragmatics & beyond, , 0922-842X ; ; v. 148
Classificazione	ID 6235
Altri autori (Persone)	Gedde-DahlTrine KinnTorodd
Disciplina	808.042
Soggetti	Academic writing Writing
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Academic Voices -- Editorial page -- Title page -- LCC data -- Table of contents -- Preface and acknowledgements -- 1. Introduction -- 1.1 The KIAP project -- 1.2 The KIAP Corpus -- 1.2.1 Corpus statistics -- 1.2.2 Text selection -- 1.2.3 Representativity -- 1.2.4 Text formatting -- 1.2.5 Text encoding -- 1.2.6 Search options -- 1.3 Cultural identity -- 1.3.1 Introduction -- 1.3.2 National identity -- 1.3.3 Academic identity -- 1.3.4 Disciplinary identity -- 1.3.5 Genre and discourse community -- 1.3.6 Cultural identity in KIAP -- 1.4 Previous research -- 2. Theoretical and methodological frameworks -- 2.1 Theoretical framework -- 2.1.1 Main theoretical approaches -- 2.1.2 A polyphonic framework: ScaPoLine -- 2.2 Quantitative methods -- 2.2.1 Our independent variables -- 2.2.2 Article length and absolute frequency -- 2.2.3 Relative frequency and measures based on it -- 2.2.4 Cross-linguistic comparisons and relative frequency -- 2.2.5 Statistical tests -- 2.2.6 Why non-parametric statistics? -- 2.3 Qualitative methods -- 2.3.1 An illustration of method -- 2.3.2 Our use of case studies -- 3. Quantitative results -- 3.0 Introduction -- 3.1 Cultural identities as

tendencies in linguistic practices -- 3.2 Is discipline or language the most important factor? -- 3.3 Differences and similarities between disciplines -- 3.3.1 Which differences between disciplines are significant? -- 3.3.2 Similarities between disciplines -- 3.4 Differences and similarities between languages -- 3.4.1 Which differences between languages are significant? -- 3.4.2 Similarities between languages -- 4. Presence of the author -- 4.0 Introduction -- 4.1 Quantitative and comparative results -- 4.1.1 First person subjects -- 4.1.2 Indefinite pronoun subjects -- 4.2 Author roles -- 4.2.1 Verb groups -- 4.2.2 Author roles and context -- 4.3 First person plural subjects. 4.3.1 The reference and functions of first person plurals -- 4.3.2 'We' in combination with two verbs -- 4.3.3 Differences between languages and disciplines -- 4.3.4 'We' and polyphony -- 4.4 "Indefinite" authors -- 4.4.1 Indefinite pronouns in English, French and Norwegian -- 4.4.2 Previous studies on the French indefinite pronoun -- 4.4.3 Six values of *on* in French research articles -- 4.4.4 Distribution of *on*-values -- 4.4.5 Final remarks -- 4.5 Author roles and evaluation in abstracts -- 4.5.1 Introduction -- 4.5.2 Author roles -- 4.5.3 Evaluative elements -- 4.5.4 Summing up -- 4.6 Case studies -- 4.6.1 Pronoun use in individual articles -- 4.6.2 Implicit and explicit references to 'we' in a Norwegian medical article -- 4.6.3 A study of two English abstracts -- 4.7 Concluding remarks -- 5. Reader/ writer interaction -- 5.0 Introduction -- 5.1 Quantitative and comparative results -- 5.2 Metatext -- 5.3 'Let us'-imperatives -- 5.3.1 Types of 'let'-imperatives -- 5.3.2 A classificatory overview of different uses -- 5.3.3 Functions of text composition -- 5.3.4 Rhetorical functions -- 5.3.5 Variation between disciplines, languages and individual authors -- 5.4 Presentation of "results" -- 5.4.1 Introduction -- 5.4.2 Quantitative analysis of RESULT -- 5.4.3 Discussion -- 5.4.4 Analysis of three linguistics articles -- 5.5 Case studies -- 5.5.1 Metatext in three economics articles -- 5.5.2 'Let'-imperatives in a Norwegian economics article -- 5.5.3 Metatext and author manifestation in a French medical article -- 5.6 Concluding remarks -- 6. Presence of the others -- 6.0 Introduction -- 6.1 Quantitative and comparative results -- 6.1.1 Bibliographical references -- 6.1.2 Negation -- 6.1.3 Adversatives -- 6.2 Explicit presence: bibliographical references and citations -- 6.2.1 Four subtypes -- 6.2.2 Quantitative distribution of the four subtypes. 6.2.3 Bibliographical references as grammatical subjects -- 6.2.4 Discourse and rhetorical functions of bibliographical references -- 6.3 Who can do what? Self, other and verb use -- 6.4 Implicit presence: negation and concessive constructions -- 6.4.1 Introduction -- 6.4.2 Quantitative comments -- 6.4.3 The case of negation -- 6.4.4 The case of concession -- 6.4.5 Summing up -- 6.5 Case study: Negation in individual articles -- 6.6 Concluding remarks -- 7. Conclusions -- 7.0 Introduction -- 7.1 Quantitative results: summing up and discussion -- 7.1.1 Overview -- 7.1.2 The disciplines -- 7.1.3 The languages -- 7.1.4 Gender -- 7.2 Community identity versus individual heterogeneity -- 7.3 Academic voices in harmony and contrast -- References -- KIAP publications -- Appendix A The KIAP Corpus -- Appendix B Statistical tests -- Index -- Pragmatics & -- Beyond New Series.

Sommario/riassunto

This book explores how the voices of authors and other researchers are manifested in academic discourse, and how the author handles the polyphonic interaction between these various parties. It represents a unique study of academic discourse in that it takes a doubly contrastive approach, focusing on the two factors of discipline and language at the same time. It is based on a large electronic corpus of 450 research

articles from three disciplines (economics, linguistics and medicine) in three languages (English, French and Norwegian). The book investigates whether disciplines and languages may be said to represent different cultures with regard to person manifestation in the texts. What is being studied is thus cultural identities as tendencies in linguistic practices. For the majority of the features focused on (e.g. metatext and bibliographical references), the discipline factor turns out to contribute more strongly to the variation observed than the language factor. However, for some of the features (e.g. pronouns and negation), the language factor is also quite strong. Additional background information on the investigations reported in this book can be found at www.uib.no/kiap/.
