Record Nr. UNINA9910969783403321
Autore Adeney Katharine <1973->

Titolo Federalism and Ethnic Conflict Regulation in India and Pakistan / / by K.

Adeney

Pubbl/distr/stampa New York:,: Palgrave Macmillan US:,: Imprint: Palgrave Macmillan,,

2007

ISBN 9786611361440

9781349999538 1349999539 9781281361448 1281361445 9780230601949 0230601944

Edizione [1st ed. 2007.]

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (257 p.)

Disciplina 320.454/049

Soggetti Asia - Politics and government

Ethnology - Asia

Culture

International relations Political science Asian Politics Asian Culture

International Relations

Political Science

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Description based upon print version of record.

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index.

Nota di contenuto Cover; Table of Contents; List of Tables, Charts, Maps;

Acknowledgments; Permissions; Abbreviations; Chapter 1 Comparative Federalism and Ethnic Conflict: A Theoretical Examination; Chapter 2 Federal Plans in Pre-Independence India; Chapter 3 The Federal ""Problem"" in South Asia: Institutional Design before Partition; Chapter

4 Partition: Differences in Federal Design; Chapter 5 Federal

Segregation or Multiculturalism?; Chapter 6 Federal (In)Stability in India;

Chapter 7 Federal (In)Stability in Pakistan

Chapter 8 Future Prospects for India and Pakistan and Lessons for Ethnically Divided SocietiesAppendix 1 Democratic Status of the World's Federations since 1900; Appendix 2 The Dates of President's Rule for the States and Union Territories of India 1951-2005; Appendix 3 The Number of Units in the World's Federations and Their Success Rate; Notes; Bibliography; Index; List of Previous Publications

## Sommario/riassunto

Katharine Adeney demonstrates that institutional design is the most important explanatory variable in understanding the different intensity and types of conflict in the two countries rather than the role of religion. Adeney examines the extent to which previous constitutional choices explain current day conflicts.