Record Nr. UNINA9910968751003321

Autore Sy Amadou

Titolo Distance-to-Default in Banking : : A Bridge Too Far? // Amadou Sy,

Jorge Chan-Lau

Pubbl/distr/stampa Washington, D.C.:,: International Monetary Fund,, 2006

ISBN 9786613824097

Edizione [1st ed.]

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (19 p.)

Collana IMF Working Papers

Altri autori (Persone) Chan-LauJorge

Soggetti Bank capital - Econometric models

Bank failures - Econometric models

Default (Finance) - Econometric models

Risk - Econometric models

Asset requirements Asset valuation

Asset-liability management

Banking

Banks and Banking Banks and banking

Banks

Capital adequacy requirements

Crisis management
Customs administration
Deposit insurance
Depository Institutions

Economic & financial crises & disasters

Finance

Financial Institutions and Services: Government Policy and Regulation

Financial Risk Management

Financial services law & regulation International Financial Markets International Trade Organizations

Micro Finance Institutions

Mortgages

Post-clearance customs audit

Public finance & taxation

Public Finance Trade Policy United States

Lingua di pubblicazione

Inglese

Formato

Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico

Monografia

Note generali

"September 2006."

Nota di bibliografia

Includes bibliographical references.

regulatory actions in Japan in 2001-03.

Nota di contenuto

""Contents""; ""I. INTRODUCTION""; ""II. WHAT HAPPENS BEFORE A BANK DEFAULT?""; ""III. A UNIFIED FRAMEWORK FOR DISTANCE MEASURES :

DISTANCE-TO-CAPITAL""; ""IV. CASE STUDY: THE RESONA AND ASHIKAGA BANKS""; ""V. CONCLUSIONS""; ""REFERENCES""

Sommario/riassunto

In contrast to corporate defaults, regulators typically take a number of statutory actions to avoid the large fiscal costs associated with bank defaults. The distance-to-default, a widely used market-based measure of corporate default risk, ignores such regulatory actions. To overcome this limitation, this paper introduces the concept of distance-to-capital that accounts for pre-default regulatory actions such as those in a prompt-corrective-actions framework. We show that both risk measures can be analyzed using the same theoretical framework but differ depending on the level of capital adequacy thresholds and asset volatility. We also use the framework to illustrate pre-default