

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910968471903321
Titolo	Good faith in contract and property / edited by A.D.M. Forte
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Oxford ; Portland, Oregon, : Hart Publishing, 1999
ISBN	9786610807994 9781472558893 1472558898 9781280807992 1280807997 9781847310569 1847310567
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (240 pages)
Disciplina	346.41102
Soggetti	Contracts - Scotland Good faith (Law) - Scotland Contracts Good faith (Law)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Includes index
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	1. Introduction -- A.D.M Forte -- 2. Good Faith in the Scots Law of Contract: An Undisclosed Principle? -- Hector L. MacQueen -- 3. Good Faith: A Matter of Principle? -- Ewan G. McKendrick -- 4. Good Faith in Contracting: A Sceptical View -- Joseph M. Thomson -- 5. Good Faith and Utmost Good Faith: Insurance and Cautionary Obligations in Scots Law -- A.D.M. Forte -- 6. Good Faith in Scots Property Law -- D.L. Carey Miller -- 7. Good Faith and the Doctrine of Personal Bar -- J.W.G. Blackie -- 8. Good Faith: A Principled Matter -- Scott C. Styles -- 9. Good Faith in Consumer Contracts: Rule, Policy and Principle -- Chris Willett
Sommario/riassunto	Good faith is already a familiar concept in international commercial law and a recognised principle of substantive law in several major legal systems. In the United Kingdom, however, a role for good faith and, more fundamentally, the issue of whether or not there ought to be a

general principle of good faith informing English and Scots contract and property law, are still matters for debate. This book, containing the papers delivered at the Symposium on Good Faith in Contract and Property Law held in Aberdeen University in October 1998, engages in that critical debate. While its central core reflects on good faith from the perspective of a mixed legal system (Scots Law), papers on good faith from an English and European perspective locate the debate, properly, within a broader jurisdictional context
