

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910968208103321
Titolo	Evidence for linguistic relativity // edited by Susanne Niemeier, Rene Dirven
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia, : John Benjamins Pub. Co., 2000
ISBN	9786613121615 9781556199769 1556199767 9781283121613 1283121611 9789027284464 9027284466
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	xxi, 239 p
Collana	Amsterdam studies in the theory and history of linguistic science. Series IV, Current issues in linguistic theory, , 0304-0763 ; ; v. 198
Altri autori (Persone)	NiemeierSusanne <1960-> DirvenRene
Disciplina	417/.7
Soggetti	Historical linguistics Discourse analysis
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Papers presented at the 11th International Conference on Historical Linguistics, held August 1993 at the University of California.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	pt. 1. Evidence from language : production, interpretation, and change -- pt. 2. Evidence beyond language : cognition, discourse, and culture.
Sommario/riassunto	This volume has arisen from the 26th International LAUD Symposium on "Humboldt and Whorf Revisited. Universal and Culture-Specific Conceptualizations in Grammar and Lexis". While contrasting two or more languages, the papers in this volume either provide empirical evidence confirming hypotheses related to linguistic relativity, or deal with methodological issues of empirical research. These new approaches to Whorf's hypotheses do not focus on mere theorizing but provide more and more empirical evidence gathered over the last years. They prove in a very sophisticated way that Whorf's ideas were very lucid ones, even if Whorf's insights were framed in a terminology which lacked the flexibility of linguistic categories developed over the last

quarter of this century, especially in cognitive linguistics. To date, there is sufficient proof to claim that linguistic relativity is indeed a vital issue, and the current volume confirms a more general trend for rehabilitating Whorf's theory complex and also offers evidence for it. It contains articles written by scholars from various fields of linguistics including phonology, psycholinguistics, language acquisition, historical linguistics, anthropological linguistics and (cross-)cultural semantics, which all contribute to a re-evaluation and partial reformulation of Whorf's thinking.
