

1. Record Nr.	UNISA996391368503316
Autore	Sutcliffe Matthew <1550?-1629.>
Titolo	A briefe replie to a certaine odious and slanderous libel, lately published by a seditious Iesuite, calling himselfe N.D. in defence both of publike enemies, and disloyall subiects, and entitled A temperate wardword, to Sir Francis Hastings turbulent Watchword [[electronic resource] ] : wherein not only the honest, and religious intention, and zeale of that good knight is defended, but also the cause of true catholike religion, and the iustice of her Maiesties proceedings against popish malcontents and traitors, from diuers malicious imputations and slanders cleered, and our aduersaries glorious declamation answered, and refuted by O.E. defendant in the challenge, and encounters of N.D. Hereunto is also added a certaine new challenge made to N.D. in fiue encounters, concerning the fundamentall pointes of his former whole discourse: together with a briefe refutation of a certaine calumnious relation of the conference of Monsieur Plessis and Monsieur d'Eureux before the French king .
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Imprinted at London, : By Arn. Hatfield, 1600
Descrizione fisica	[24], 237 [i.e. 239], [7], 132, [6], 38 p
Soggetti	Catholics - England
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	<p>O.E. = Matthew Sutcliffe; N.D. = Robert Parsons.</p> <p>A reply to: Parsons, Robert. A temperate ward-word, to the turbulent and seditious Wach-word of Sir Francis Hastings knight.</p> <p>The "calumnious relation of the conference" is the first edition of "A relation of the triall made before the King of France, upon the yeare 1600 beetweene the Bishop of Eureux, and the L. Plessis Mornay" by Robert Parsons.</p> <p>"A new challenge made to N.D." and "A briefe refutation of a certaine calumnious relation" each have separate dated title page and pagination; register is continuous.</p> <p>P. 239 misnumbered 237.</p> <p>The last leaf is blank.</p> <p>Reproduction of the original in the British Library.</p>

Lacking first p. 81-82; p. 70-97 from Folger Shakespeare Library copy added at end.

Sommario/riassunto eebo-0018

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910967817403321
Titolo	Distinctive qualities in communication research // edited by Donal Carbaugh and Patrice M. Buzzanell
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : Routledge, 2009
ISBN	1-135-22101-4 1-282-23423-4 9786612234231 0-203-87416-1
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (136 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	CarbaughDonal A BuzzanellPatrice M
Disciplina	302.207/2 302.2072
Soggetti	Communication - Research
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Foreword / Robert Craig -- What is distinctive in communication research? / Patrice Buzzanell and Donal Carbaugh -- A dialogic approach to interpersonal/family communication / Leslie A. Baxter -- Politically attentive relational constructionism (PARC) : making a difference in a pluralistic, interdependent world / Stanley Deetz -- The promise of communication in large-scale, community-based research / Michael Hecht -- The importance of communication science in addressing core problems in public health / Joseph N. Cappella and Robert Hornik -- Researching culture in contexts of social interaction : an ethnographic approach, a network of scholars, and illustrative moves / Gerry Philipsen -- Reflections on distinctive qualities in communication research / Donal Carbaugh and Patrice Buzzanell.

## Sommario/riassunto

This timely volume provides an in-depth look at why the field of communication is so central in initiatives for social impact around the world. In *Distinctive Qualities in Communication Research*, editors Donal Carbaugh and Patrice M. Buzzanell bring together scholars with varied and productive approaches to communication to address the question of what distinguishes communication research from similar studies in other disciplines. Each contributor responds to the question: "What makes your research communication research? How doe

---