

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910966987903321
Autore	Bloomer M (Martin)
Titolo	Curriculum making in post-16 education : the social conditions of studentship / / Martin Bloomer
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; ; New York : , : Routledge, , 1997
ISBN	1-134-81042-3 1-134-81043-1 1-280-32574-7 0-203-29310-X 0-203-20343-7
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (235 p.)
Disciplina	373.19 378.199
Soggetti	Education and state Educational change Post-compulsory education Post-compulsory education - Great Britain - Curric Student participation in curriculum planning Teacher participation in curriculum planning Post-compulsory education - Curricula - Great Britain Post-compulsory education - Social aspects - Great Britain Educational change - Great Britain Teacher participation in curriculum planning - Great Britain Student participation in curriculum planning - Great Britain Education and state - Great Britain Education, Special Topics Education Social Sciences
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di contenuto	Book Cover; Title; Contents; List of illustrations; Acknowledgements; INTRODUCTION; THE CONTEXT OF EDUCATIONAL PRACTICE;

KNOWLEDGE AND THE PRESCRIPTION OF LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES; KNOWLEDGE AND LEARNING IN PRACTICE: VOCATIONAL COURSES; KNOWLEDGE AND LEARNING IN PRACTICE: A-LEVEL CHEMISTRY COURSES; KNOWLEDGE AND LEARNING IN PRACTICE: A-LEVEL HISTORY COURSES; STUDENTSHIP AND LEARNING CAREERS; TOWARDS A RECONSTRUCTION OF THE POST-16 CURRICULUM; TOWARDS A CURRICULUM FOR THE FUTURE; Notes; Bibliography; Index

Sommario/riassunto

It is widely agreed that the post-16 curriculum in England and Wales is inadequate, mainly due to the successive reforms of various governments. YTS was a reaction to problems of youth unemployment, CPVE and BTEC embraced a 'broad' concept of vocationalism, and even with the introduction of NVQ and GNVQ the A-level retains its gold-standard in the eyes of many. The post-16 curriculum that has emerged is hardly coherent. So how can teachers translate an externally imposed curriculum into a meaningful learning experience for students? Drawing on solid research in post-16 education, this bo
