Record Nr. UNINA9910966619403321 Casebook in functional discourse grammar / / edited by J. Lachlan **Titolo** Mackenzie, Hella Olbertz Pubbl/distr/stampa Amsterdam, : John Benjamins Pub. Co., 2013 **ISBN** 9789027271587 9027271585 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (323 p.) Collana Studies in Language Companion Series;; 137 Studies in language companion series, , 0165-7763;; v. 137 Altri autori (Persone) MackenzieJ. Lachlan OlbertzHella <1953-> Disciplina 415 Soggetti Functional discourse grammar Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and indexes. Nota di contenuto Casebook in Functional Discourse Grammar; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data: Table of contents: Abbreviations: Introduction: References: A new approach to clausal constituent order; 1. Introduction; 2. Constituent ordering in FDG; 2.1 Introduction; 2.2 Templates; 2.3 Hierarchical ordering; 2.4 Configurational ordering; 3. Classical constituent order typology; 4. A new approach to constituent order typology; 5. An illustration; 5.1 Introduction; 5.2 Predicate-medial languages; 5.2.1 Introduction; 5.2.2 Dutch; 5.2.3 English; 5.2.4 Leti; 5.2.5 Summary: 5.3 Predicate-initial languages 5.3.1 Introduction 5.3.2 Scottish Gaelic; 5.3.3 Tzotzil; 5.3.4 Kokota; 5.3.5 Summary; 6. Conclusion; References; Spatial adpositions between lexicon and grammar; 1. Introduction: The adposition; 2. Spatial adpositions, lexical and grammatical; 3. Justifying the lexicalgrammatical distinction for English and other languages; 4. The Complex Locational Expression and the marking of the semantic category location; 5. The major adpositional constructions across the world's languages; 6. Conclusion; References; Conceptual

representation and formulation; 1. Introduction

2. Outline of the Conceptual Component3. Representing information within the Conceptualizer; 4. Composition of the Conceptual Level Representation; 5. Formulation; 6. Conceptualization and formulation

in possessive constructions; 7. Conceptualization and formulation in passive constructions; 8. Conclusion; Abbreviations; References; External possessors and related constructions in Functional Discourse Grammar; 1. Introduction; 2. Constraints on the indirect object external possessors in Dutch; 3. The Dutch indirect object external possessor in relation to other constructions

- 3.1 Onomasiological variation3.2 Semasiological variation; 4. The representation of the indirect object external possessor in FDG; 5. The representation of related constructions in FDG; 6. Conclusion; References; Time reference in English indirect speech; 1. Introduction;
- 2. Temporal reference: Locating situations in time; 3. Previous approaches to tense copying; 3.1 Comrie (1986); 3.2 Declerck (1988);
- 4. Functional discourse grammar; 5. The function of (not) copying tense; 6. Conclusions; References; Raising in Functional Discourse Grammar; 1. Introduction; 2. Types of raising
- 3. The pragmatic motivation of raising processes in Spanish3.1 Subject to subject raising (SRR) in Spanish; 3.1.1 SSR in discourse; 3.2 Subject-to-Object Raising (SOR) in Spanish; 4. A FDG analysis of raising; 4.1 Formal analysis; 4.2 Pragmatic analysis; 5. Conclusion; References; Objective and subjective deontic modal necessity in FDG evidence from Spanish auxiliary expressions; 1. Introduction; 2. Modal auxiliaries in Spanish; 3. Objective and subjective deontic modality in FDG; 4. The scope of objective and subjective deontic modality; 5. Discussion and conclusion; 6. Summary and outlook References

Sommario/riassunto

The theory of FDG claims that deontic modality can be either participant-oriented or event-oriented, both distinctions forming part of the Representational Level. However, there is evidence from Spanish and a number of other languages that event-oriented deontic modality can be coded twice, with different values in one and the same State-of-Affairs. We will therefore distinguish between objective and subjective deontic modality, where the latter has scope over the former. On the basis of the ways in which the expressions of subjective and objective deontic modality interact with tense and othe