

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910961226103321
Autore	Kumhof Michael
Titolo	The Chicago Plan Revisited / / Michael Kumhof, Jaromir Benes
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C. : , : International Monetary Fund, , 2012
ISBN	9781475587784 1475587783 9781475530056 1475530056
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (72 p.)
Collana	IMF Working Papers
Altri autori (Persone)	BenesJaromir
Disciplina	332.1/52
Soggetti	Banking law - United States Banks and banking - United States Accounting Asset requirements Bank credit Banking Banks and Banking Banks and banking Banks Capital adequacy requirements Credit Debt Management Debt Debts, Public Depository Institutions Finance Finance, Public Financial Institutions and Services: Government Policy and Regulation Financial institutions Financial Markets and the Macroeconomy Financial reporting, financial statements Financial services law & regulation Financial statements Industries: Financial Services Loans Micro Finance Institutions Monetary economics Monetary Policy

Monetary Policy, Central Banking, and the Supply of Money and Credit:  
 General  
 Money and Monetary Policy  
 Money  
 Mortgages  
 Public Administration  
 Public debt  
 Public finance & taxation  
 Public Finance  
 Public financial management (PFM)  
 Public Sector Accounting and Audits  
 Sovereign Debt  
 United States

Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Contents; I. Introduction; II. The Chicago Plan in the History of Monetary Thought; A. Government versus Private Control over Money Issuance; B. The Chicago Plan; III. The Model under the Current Monetary System; A. Banks; B. Lending Technologies; C. Transactions Cost Technologies; D. Equity Ownership and Dividends; E. Unconstrained Households; F. Constrained Households; G. Unions; H. Manufacturers; I. Capital Goods Producers; J. Capital Investment Funds; K. Government; 1. Monetary Policy; 2. Prudential Policy; 3. Fiscal Policy; 4. Government Budget Constraint; L. Market Clearing IV. The Model under the Chicago PlanA. Banks; B. Households; C. Manufacturers; D. Government; 1. Monetary Policy; 2. Prudential Policy; 3. Fiscal Policy; 4. Government Budget Constraint; 5. Controlling Boom-Bust Cycles - Additional Considerations; V. Calibration; VI. Transition to the Chicago Plan; VII. Credit Booms and Busts Pre-Transition and Post-Transition; VIII. Conclusion; References; Figures; 1. Changes in Bank Balance Sheet in Transition Period (percent of GDP); 2. Changes in Government Balance Sheet in Transition Period (percent of GDP) 3. Changes in Bank Balance Sheet - Details (percent of GDP)4. Transition to Chicago Plan - Bank Balance Sheets; 5. Transition to Chicago Plan - Main Macroeconomic Variables; 6. Transition to Chicago Plan - Fiscal Variables; 7. Business Cycle Properties Pre-Transition versus Post-Transition
Sommario/riassunto	At the height of the Great Depression a number of leading U.S. economists advanced a proposal for monetary reform that became known as the Chicago Plan. It envisaged the separation of the monetary and credit functions of the banking system, by requiring 100% reserve backing for deposits. Irving Fisher (1936) claimed the following advantages for this plan: (1) Much better control of a major source of business cycle fluctuations, sudden increases and contractions of bank credit and of the supply of bank-created money. (2) Complete elimination of bank runs. (3) Dramatic reduction of the (net) public debt. (4) Dramatic reduction of private debt, as money creation no longer requires simultaneous debt creation. We study these claims by

embedding a comprehensive and carefully calibrated model of the banking system in a DSGE model of the U.S. economy. We find support for all four of Fisher's claims. Furthermore, output gains approach 10 percent, and steady state inflation can drop to zero without posing problems for the conduct of monetary policy.

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910965869703321
Titolo	Revisiting home visiting : summary of a workshop / / Board on Children, Youth and Families ; Nancy Geyelin Margie and Deborah A. Phillips, editors ; Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, National Research Council and Institute of Medicine
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C. : , : National Academy Press, , 1999
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (27 pages)
Altri autori (Persone)	MargieNancy Geyelin PhillipsDeborah A
Disciplina	362.14
Soggetti	Home nursing - Evaluation Maternity nursing Family nursing Dysfunctional families Parent and child Child care
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Revisiting Home Visiting: Summary of a Workshop -- Copyright -- ACKNOWLEDGMENTS -- Contents -- REVISITING HOME VISITING -- POLICY CONTEXT -- CHARACTERIZING HOME VISITING -- ROLE OF RESEARCH -- CHALLENGES FACED BY HOME VISITING PROGRAMS -- FAMILY ENGAGEMENT -- STAFFING -- CULTURAL AND LINGUISTIC DIVERSITY -- DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, MATERNAL DEPRESSION, AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE -- NEW WAYS OF THINKING -- CONSIDERING THE COMMUNITY CONTEXT -- DEVELOPING TEAM APPROACHES TO HOME

VISITING -- FORGING LINKAGES TO CHILD CARE -- NEXT STEPS --  
REFERENCES -- APPENDIX A AGENDA Workshop on Revisiting Home  
Visiting -- MONDAY, MARCH 8, 1999 -- DAY ONE: WHAT CAN WE NOW  
SAY ABOUT HOME VISITING STRATEGIES? -- TUESDAY, MARCH 9, 1999  
-- DAY TWO: What can we learn from other research and interventions  
to inform the future of home visiting? -- APPENDIX B OTHER REPORTS  
FROM THE BOARD ON CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES.

---

Sommario/riassunto

On March 8-9, 1999, the Board on Children, Youth, and Families of the  
National Research Council and Institute of Medicine, with funding from  
the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, held a workshop for  
practitioners, policymakers, and researchers to discuss recent  
evaluation evidence on the effectiveness of home visitation programs.

---