

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910965332003321
Autore	Archibald Diana C
Titolo	Domesticity, imperialism, and emigration in the Victorian novel // Diana C. Archibald
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Columbia, : University of Missouri Press, c2002
ISBN	0-8262-6410-7
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (230 p.)
Disciplina	823/.809355
Soggetti	Colonies in literature Domestic fiction, English - History and criticism Emigration and immigration in literature English fiction - 19th century - History and criticism Home in literature Imperialism in literature United States In literature
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 189-206) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Machine generated contents note: INTRODUCTION Angels at Home: Contested Sites of Domestic and Imperialistic Ideology -- CHAPTER 1 : Storm Cloud over England and Blue Skies in Canada: Industrialization, Empire, and the Pastoral in Gaskell -- CHAPTER 2 : "Rogue's Paradise" or Honest Man's Arcady: Anthony Trollope's Australia and the Preservation of Home -- CHAPTER 3 : "Nowhere" in New Zealand: Samuel Butler's Erewhonian Women -- CHAPTER 4 : American Women and English Angels in Dickens, Reade, Trollope, and Thackeray -- Conclusion.
Sommario/riassunto	During the nineteenth century, as millions of British citizens left for the New Worlds, hearth and home were physically moved from the heart of the empire to its very outskirts. In Domesticity, Imperialism, and Emigration in the Victorian Novel, Diana Archibald explores how such demographic shifts affected the ways in which Victorians both promoted and undermined the ideal of the domestic woman. Drawing upon works by Elizabeth Gaskell, Anthony Trollope, Samuel Butler, Charles Dickens, Charles Reade, and William Makepeace Thackeray, the

author shows how the ideals of womanhood and home promoted by domestic ideology in many ways conflict with the argument in favor of immigration to imperial destinations. According to Coventry Patmore and John Ruskin, and some of their contemporaries, woman's natural domain is the home, and a woman's fulfillment lies at the hearthside. But would any hearth do as long as it was hallowed by the presence of a domestic goddess, or was this Victorian definition of home more discriminating? Although the ideal of the domestic woman was certainly affected by these mass movements, in many texts the definition of her becomes narrow and unattainable, for she must not only be an "angel," but she must also be English and remain at home. A rather predictable pattern emerges in almost every Victorian novel that encounters the New Worlds: if an English hero is destined for a happy ending, he either marries an English angel-wife and brings her with him to the New World or, more often, abandons thoughts of settling abroad and returns to England to marry and establish a home. This pattern seems to support the supposedly complementary ideologies of domesticity and imperialism. England, according to imperialist dogma, was the righteous center of a powerful empire whose mission was to "civilize" the rest of the world. The purpose of the domestic "angel" was to provide the moral center of a sacred space, and what is more sacred to such a scheme than English soil? A true "angel" should be English. Despite the mass migrations of the nineteenth century, home remains fundamentally English. The literary texts, however, reveal much ambivalence toward this domestic ideal. Often the colonial and native women were seen as foils for the English "angels" because they were much more interesting and attractive. At times, domestic and imperialist ideologies themselves conflicted. Female emigrants were desperately needed in the colonies; thus, a woman's imperial duty was to leave England. Yet her womanly duty told her to remain an untainted idol beside an English hearthside. The domestic ideal, then, because of its firm alliance with nationalism, seems to have been more in conflict with imperialistic ideology than heretofore supposed.

---