1. Record Nr. UNINA9910964660503321 Autore Lang Richard (Richard A.) **Titolo** Complex equality and the Court of Justice of the European Union: reconciling diversity and harmonization / / Richard Lang; with foreword by Mark Bell Boston:,: Brill,, 2018 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 9789004354265 9004354263 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xiv, 376 pages) Nijhoff studies in European Union law, , 2210-9765;; v. 14 Collana Altri autori (Persone) BellMark <1974-> Disciplina 342.2408/5 Soggetti Equality before the law - European Union countries Discrimination - Law and legislation - European Union countries Equality - Philosophy Distributive justice Cultural pluralism Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Introduction / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- Michael Walzer and Complex Equality / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- The Principle of Equal Treatment of Persons Irrespective of Gender / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- The 'Article 19' grounds: Racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age, and sexual orientation / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- Nationality Discrimination / Richard Lang and Mark Bell --Semi-Suspect and Non-Suspect Grounds / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- Reflections / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- Presenting a Theory of Mediated Complexity / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- Evaluation / Richard Lang and Mark Bell -- Conclusion / Richard Lang and Mark Bell. The equality jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the European Sommario/riassunto Union has long drawn criticism for its almost total reliance on Aristotle' s doctrine that likes should be treated like, and unlikes unlike. As has often been shown, this is a blunt tool, entrenching assumptions and promoting difference-blindness: the symptoms of simplicity. In this

book, Richard Lang proposes that the EU's judges complement the Aristotelian test with a new one based on Michael Walzer's theory of

Complex Equality, and illustrates how analysing allegedly discriminatory acts, not in terms of comparisons of the actors involved, but rather in terms of distributions and meanings of goods, would enable them to reach decisions with new dexterity and to resolve conflicts without sacrificing diversity.