

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910784852603321
Autore	Davenport Anne Ashley
Titolo	Descartes's theory of action [[electronic resource] /] / by Anne Ashley Davenport
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leiden ; ; Boston, : Brill, c2006
ISBN	1-281-39959-0 9786611399597 90-474-0997-3
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (328 p.)
Collana	Brill's studies in intellectual history, , 0920-8607 ; ; v. 142
Classificazione	08.24
Disciplina	128/.4092
Soggetti	Act (Philosophy)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [299]-301) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Preliminary Material / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter One: Spiritual Directors and Spiritual Action / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Two: Passion and Action in Rule XII / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Three: The Insights of Orthopraxy / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Four: A Discourse on Resolve / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Five: Tempus ad Agendum: the Time to Act / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Six: Basic Action Revisited / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Seven: I Refrain, Therefore I am / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Eight: Induction and Infinity / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Nine: Action and Individuation / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Ten: Beyond Obedience and Indifference / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Eleven: Is Free Agency Required for the Perception of Truth? / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Chapter Twelve: Agency and the Order of Nature / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Bibliography / Anne Ashley Davenport -- Index / Anne Ashley Davenport.
Sommario/riassunto	This volume has a single goal: to argue that Descartes's most fundamental discovery is not the epistemological subject, but rather the underlying free agent without whom no epistemological subject is possible. This fresh interpretation of the Cartesian "cogito" is defended through a close reading of Descartes's masterpiece, the Meditations . Special attention is paid to the historical roots of Descartes's interest in

free agency, particularly his close ties to the French School of spirituality. Three aspects of Descartes's personal evolution are considered: his aesthetic evolution from Baroque concealment to Classicism, his political evolution from feudal nostalgia to modern secularism, and his spiritual evolution from Stoic wisdom to active engagement in the world through the scientific project.

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910959963903321
Autore	Holmes Leonard
Titolo	The dominance of management : a participatory critique // by Leonard Holmes
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Burlington, VT., : Ashgate, 2010
ISBN	1-315-61566-5 1-317-03535-6 1-317-03534-8 1-282-65774-7 9786612657740 1-4094-1047-1
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (215 pages) : illustrations
Collana	Voices in development management
Disciplina	302.3/5
Soggetti	Management - Research - Great Britain Management - England - London Community development - England - London
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Management: the emergence of a dominating paradigm -- The nature of management -- Radical organization theory and research -- Management and the labour process -- Management and class analysis -- The capitalist state and management -- Popular planning in modern London: a context of disparities -- Processes of domination in the management of a job creation scheme -- Community initiative or state enterprise? -- Setting up Stonebridge -- Who managed the Charlton Training Centre? -- Attempting democratic organization: tensions and

contradictions -- Beyond the dominance of management.

---

Sommario/riassunto

Offers a controversial reanalysis of the rise and dominance of managerialist approaches to development by using two British inner-city community development projects as case studies to show how 'managed development' runs counter to participatory values and aspirations of communities receiving development aid.

---