

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910959906603321   |
| Autore                  | Xiang Lanxin <1956->  |
| Titolo                  | The origins of the Boxer War : a multinational study / / Lanxin Xiang   |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | London ; ; New York : , : RoutledgeCurzon, , 2003   |
| ISBN                    | 1-136-86589-6<br>1-136-86582-9<br>1-315-02928-6   |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (405 p.)  |
| Disciplina              | 951/.035  |
| Soggetti                | China History Boxer Rebellion, 1899-1901  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese   |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa  |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia  |
| Note generali           | Description based upon print version of record.   |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references and index.  |
| Nota di contenuto       | Cover; The Origins of the Boxer War; Title Page; Copyright Page; Table of Contents; List of Illustrations; Preface; Acknowledgements; Prelude: The Mysterious Caravan; Map of China; Map of Beijing and surrounding area; 1 The Court out of Balance; 2 The 'Barbarians' out of Control; 3 Imperialism German Style; 4 Italy - The Theatrical Performance; 5 The Eruption in Shandong; 6 Two Problems of Communication; 7 The Threat of Force; 8 The Road to Confrontation; 9 The Legation Guards and the End of Suppression; 10 The Road to War; 11 Admiral 'See-No-More'; 12 Dagu: The Undeclared War<br>13 The Expulsion<br>14 Who Killed Baron Ketteler?; Concluding Remarks; Bibliography; Index |
| Sommario/riassunto      | This is the first book to provide a panoramic view of the origins of the Boxer War. Comprehensively examining this historical conundrum of the 20th century from a detached perspective, the book is based on ten years of exhaustive research of both unpublished and published materials from all nine countries involved. Analysing the misunderstanding between the Chinese and foreign governments of the day, Lanxin Xiang debunks the traditional view that the anti-foreign Empress Dowager of the Chinese Empire was chiefly responsible for this catastrophic episode which altered the course of 20th century  |