

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910959801003321
<b>Titolo</b>	Sign language acquisition / / edited by Anne Baker, Bencie Woll
<b>Pubbl/distr/stampa</b>	Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia, : John Benjamins Pub., c2008
<b>ISBN</b>	9786613469434 9781283469432 128346943X 9789027289599 902728959X
<b>Edizione</b>	[1st ed.]
<b>Descrizione fisica</b>	xi, 167 p. : ill
<b>Collana</b>	Benjamins current topics, , 1874-0081 ; ; v. 14
<b>Altri autori (Persone)</b>	BakerAnne <1948 July 8-> WollB (Bencie)
<b>Disciplina</b>	419.01/9
<b>Soggetti</b>	Sign language acquisition Language acquisition
<b>Lingua di pubblicazione</b>	Inglese
<b>Formato</b>	Materiale a stampa
<b>Livello bibliografico</b>	Monografia
<b>Note generali</b>	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
<b>Nota di bibliografia</b>	Includes bibliographical references and index.
<b>Nota di contenuto</b>	Sign Language Acquisition -- Editorial page -- Title page -- LCC data -- Table of contents -- Editors' Preface -- The ESF project Intersign -- Papers in this volume -- Future developments -- References -- Methods and procedures in sign language acquisition studies -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Design and subjects -- 2.1 Design -- 2.2 Selection of subjects -- 2.3 Data collection -- 3. Transcription -- 3.1 Choice of data to transcribe -- 3.2 Units of analysis -- 3.3 Transcription method -- 3.4 Documentation -- 3.5 Illustrations -- 3.6 Using a database -- 4. Time course of sign language development and assessment -- 4.1 Pre-linguistic communication -- 4.2 First words and signs -- 4.3 Acquisition of grammar -- 4.4 Chronology of sign language development -- 4.5 Assessment -- References -- Review of sign language assessment instruments -- 1. Introduction -- 1.1 Purposes of assessment instruments -- 1.2 Screening or deeper investigation -- 1.3 Target groups -- 1.4 Content of the instruments -- 1.5 Background of the instruments -- 1.6 Test development and standardization -- 1.7 Usability of the tests -- 1.8 Availability of the instruments -- 1.9 Strengths and weaknesses of the instruments -- 2.

Discussion of individual assessment instruments -- 2.1 Instruments for sign language acquisition, diagnosis, and intervention -- 2.2 Assessment instruments for educational purposes -- 2.3 Tests for linguistic research -- 3. Evaluation of the tests -- 3.1 General evaluation of instruments available -- 3.2 Screening tools or tools for in-depth investigation -- 3.3 Evaluation of age group targets -- 3.4 Evaluation of the content of the tests -- 3.5 Evaluation of the background of the assessment instrument -- 3.6 Evaluation of assessment instrument development -- 3.7 Evaluation of the usability of the assessment instruments.

3.8 Evaluation of the availability of the instruments -- 3.9 Conclusion -- References -- Appendix A -- Some observations on the use of HamNoSys (Hamburg Notation System for Sign Languages) in the context of the phonetic transcription of children's signing -- 1. Introduction -- 2. The basic idea of HamNoSys -- 3. Transcription of child sign phonology in previous research -- 4. The study of phonological acquisition in Finnish Sign Language -- 4.1 Methodology -- 4.2 Results -- 5. Critical evaluation of HamNoSys in the notation of handshapes -- 5.1 Status of the thumb -- 5.2 Finger selection -- 5.3 Finger extension -- 5.4 Orientation -- 5.5 Movement -- 6. Conclusion and Discussion -- References -- Transcription of child sign language -- 1. Introduction -- 2. The challenge of transcribing children's signing -- 3. Transcribing BSL narrative devices -- 4. Limitations of the transcription system and future directions -- References -- Appendix. Notation devices used -- Adult-child interaction in a BSL nursery - getting their attention! -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Aims of the research -- 3. Attention-getting strategies -- 3.1 Attention-getting strategies used among Deaf adults -- 3.2 Attention strategies used with young children -- 4. Methodology -- 4.1 Subjects and setting -- 4.2 Data collection -- 4.3 Interaction Styles in the Different Nursery Areas -- 4.4 Analysis -- 5. Results -- 5.1 Waving strategies -- 5.2 Tapping and other tactile strategies -- 5.3 Strategies involving use of the visual field -- 5.4 Strategies to gain the attention of more than one person -- 5.6 Strategies used by adults for behavioral outcome -- 5.7 Strategies used by adults to teach children about turn-taking -- 6. Concluding remarks -- References -- Code mixing in mother-child interaction in deaf families -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Bilingual input in deaf families -- 3. Method.

3.1 Subjects -- 3.2 Data collection -- 4. Analysis -- 5. Results and discussion -- 6. Conclusion -- References -- Index -- The series Benjamins Current Topics.

#### Sommario/riassunto

In this paper we discuss the mixed language input of four deaf mothers and the mixed output of their three deaf and three hearing children. Taking a strict definition of code-mixing (as defined by Muysken 2000) we find that the deaf mothers mainly use a form of code-mixing, or mixed code-blending, called congruent lexicalization, which results in a mixed form between NGT (Sign Language of the Netherlands) and Dutch in a structure which is compatible with both NGT and Dutch. The deaf children (up to 3 years), who are only just beginning to become bilingual, hardly produce any code-mixed utterances. The hearing children, however, are clearly bilingual in NGT and Dutch, and use code-blending of the mixed type in more or less the same form as their mothers do.