

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910958925903321   |
| Autore                  | Schoeler Gregor   |
| Titolo                  | The biography of Muhammed : nature and authenticity / / Gregor Schoeler ; translated by Uwe Vagelpohl ; edited by James E. Montgomery   |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | New York, NY, : Routledge, 2011   |
| ISBN                    | 1-136-95974-2<br>1-283-04464-1<br>9786613044648<br>0-203-85006-8  |
| Edizione                | [1st ed.]   |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (216 p.)  |
| Collana                 | Routledge studies in classical islam ; ; 1  |
| Altri autori (Persone)  | MontgomeryJames E<br>VagelpohlUwe   |
| Disciplina              | 297.6/3   |
| Soggetti                | Prophets  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese   |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa  |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia  |
| Note generali           | Description based upon print version of record.   |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references and index.  |
| Nota di contenuto       | Book Cover; Title; Copyright; Contents; Figures; Foreword; Truth and historical tradition: Some introductory quotations; Introduction; 1 The main Medinese transmitters: Learning and teaching - the use of writing; 2 The text in the transmission process: Muhammad's first revelation (the iqra' narration); 3 The issue of authenticity: The tradition of the slander A' isah (hadit al-ifk); Afterword 2009; Appendix 1: The corpus; Appendix 2: List of sigla; Notes; Bibliography; Index   |
| Sommario/riassunto      | This book considers the Arabic biographies of Prophet Muhammad, the earliest of which dates from two centuries after his life. These biographies, prized by Muslims, have been approached in the Western study of Islam from a range of positions. Some scholars reject them entirely, seeing in them products of the Muslim community's idealisation of its history, while others accept them at face value, reasoning that, if not exact versions of events, the events could not have differed too much from their descriptions. The author revisits the debate and reconsiders several key incident |