Record Nr. UNINA9910956301703321 Autore Rodine-Hardy Kirsten Titolo Global markets and government regulation in telecommunications // Kirsten Rodine-Hardy, Northeastern University Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2013 Pubbl/distr/stampa 1-107-30149-1 **ISBN** 1-107-23591-X 1-107-30569-1 1-107-45404-2 1-107-31433-X 1-107-30658-2 1-107-30878-X 1-139-13688-7 1-107-31213-2 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xxii, 207 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) POL011000 Classificazione Disciplina 384 Soggetti Telecommunication policy Deregulation Inglese Lingua di pubblicazione **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 177-194) and index. Nota di contenuto Understanding global regulatory reform in telecommunications: a paradigm shift -- Why change the rules? explaining liberal telecom reform -- When and how do countries change the rules? econometric analysis of the timing of establishing independent regulators and privatizing telecom firms -- Regulatory reform in the central Europe : freer markets, European rules -- Northern European regulatory reform : liberal reform northern-style, "regulation-lite" -- Explaining change in a globalized world: international organizations and the emergence of networks and norms. Sommario/riassunto In recent years, liberalization, privatization and deregulation have become commonplace in sectors once dominated by governmentowned monopolies. In telecommunications, for example, during the

1990s, more than 129 countries established independent regulatory

agencies and more than 100 countries privatized the state-owned telecom operator. Why did so many countries liberalize in such a short period of time? For example, why did both Denmark and Burundi, nations different along so many relevant dimensions, liberalize their telecom sectors around the same time? Kirsten L. Rodine-Hardy argues that international organizations – not national governments or market forces – are the primary drivers of policy convergence in the important arena of telecommunications regulation: they create and shape preferences for reform and provide forums for expert discussions and the emergence of policy standards. Yet she also shows that international convergence leaves room for substantial variation among countries, using both econometric analysis and controlled case comparisons of eight European countries.