

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910954484503321
Autore	Weintraub Ruth <1955->
Titolo	The sceptical challenge / / Ruth Weintraub
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; ; New York, : Routledge, 1997
ISBN	1-280-33046-5 1-134-77088-X 0-203-28386-4 0-203-06745-2
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (154 p.)
Collana	International library of philosophy
Disciplina	121/.2
Soggetti	Skepticism Philosophy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 131-135) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; THE SCEPTICAL CHALLENGE; Title Page; Copyright Page; Table of Contents; Acknowledgements; Abbreviations; Introduction; 1 KNOWLEDGE, JUSTIFICATION AND TRUTH; Introduction; The concept of epistemic justification; The circle of belief; Why does justification matter?; Knowledge without justification; Knowledge: beyond truth and justification; Does knowledge-scepticism matter?; Conclusion; 2 THE SCEPTICAL LIFE; Radical scepticism; The agnostic life; Is it possible to suspend belief?; The logical defense of agnosticism; The ethical defense of agnosticism The implementation of the sceptical doctrine The sceptical doctrine and the practical difficulties; 3 THE CHALLENGE; Two types of scepticism; The sceptical doctrine and its justification; Further solutions; A way out of the predicament; 4 THE RESPONSE; Our objective; Our strategy; Naturalized epistemology?; Modest epistemology; 5 DESCARTES' SCEPTICAL CHALLENGE; Introduction; The Cartesian Circle; The source of the circularity: one diagnosis; Descartes is not an antecedent sceptic; A non-circular response to consequent scepticism?; Doing without the principle of clarity and distinctness? Beginning with the principle of clarity and distinctness?An alternative diagnosis of the circle; 6 INDUCTIVE SCEPTICISM; Introduction; Whose

problem is it anyway?; The first premise; The second premise; The third premise; The fourth and fifth premises; The argument newly couched; 7 SCEPTICISM AND THE STRUCTURE OF JUSTIFICATION; Introduction; Terminology; Arguments against terminating chains of justification; An argument against infinite justification chains; A second argument against infinite justification chains; Arguments against circular justification chains
Is groundless justification trivial? Is terminating justification trivial?; Triviality: a red herring; 8 INDUCTIVE SCEPTICISM REVISITED; Introduction; What justification are we seeking?; Can induction's reliability be shown deductively?; Must induction be non-empirically justified?; The apriorist urge; Can induction be justified empirically?; The justification of induction; 9 TRANSCENDENT SCEPTICISM AND INFERENCE TO THE BEST EXPLANATION; Introduction; Is the unobservable epistemically inaccessible?; Van Fraassen's argument; Hume's argument; Russell's first argument; Russell's second argument Moderate scepticism? 10 THE DEMON ARGUMENT REVISITED; Introduction; Denying the second premise?; Denying the first premise; Williams' objection; Inferring the world from appearances; Alston's objection; Further objections rebutted; Transcendental arguments; 11 THE DREAM ARGUMENT REVISITED; Introduction; Rejecting the first premise; Sextus' dream argument; Conclusion; Notes; Bibliography; Index

Sommario/riassunto

Do we really know the things we think we know? Are any of our beliefs reasonable? Scepticism gives a pessimistic reply to these important epistemological questions - we don't know anything; none of our beliefs are reasonable. But can such a seemingly paradoxical claim be more than an intellectual curiosity? And if it is, can it be refuted? Ruth Weintraub answers yes to both these questions. The sceptical challenge is a formidable one, and should be confronted, not dismissed. The theoretical and practical difficulties it presents - in that the sceptical life cannot be lived, and the doctrine
