

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910953917003321
Autore	Dollinger Stefan
Titolo	New-dialect formation in Canada : evidence from the English modal auxiliaries / / Stefan Dollinger
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam [Netherlands] ; ; Philadelphia [Pa.] , : John Benjamins Pub., c2008
ISBN	9786613092328 9789027285232 9027285233 9781283092326 1283092328
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (381 p.)
Collana	Studies in language companion series, , 0165-7763 ; ; v. 97
Disciplina	427/.971
Soggetti	English language - Canada - History English language - Dialects - Canada English language - Variation - Canada Canadianisms
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	New-Dialect Formation in Canada Evidence from the English modal auxiliaries -- Editorial page -- Title page -- Copyright page -- Table of contents -- List of Maps -- List of Figures -- List of Tables -- About this book -- Acknowledgements -- Abbreviations -- 1 INTRODUCTION -- 1.1 Colonial Englishes and Canadian English -- 1.2 Ontario English -- 1.3 Aims of the present study -- 2 CANADIAN ENGLISH: A RESEARCH HISTORY OF THE 'OTHER' VARIETY OF NORTH AMERICAN ENGLISH -- 2.1 Identity, standard and variation in Canadian English -- 2.1.1 Homogeneity and evolving notions of Standard CanE -- 2.1.2 The 'standard' in reference works -- 2.1.3 Regional Canadian Englishes -- 2.2 Research on Canadian English prior to 1954 -- 2.3 A survey of linguistic studies on CanE -- 2.3.1 Lexis -- 2.3.2 Phonetics and Phonology -- 2.3.2.1 Canadian Raising -- 2.3.2.2 Canadian Shift -- 2.3.2.3 Regional surveys -- 2.3.2.3.1 Early linguistic atlas data -- 2.3.2.3.2 British Columbia -- 2.3.2.3.3 The Canadian Prairie: Alberta,

Saskatchewan, Manitoba -- 2.3.2.3.4 Ontario -- 2.3.2.3.5 Quebec --
 2.3.2.3.6 Maritimes - New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia
 -- 2.3.2.3.7 Newfoundland (and Labrador) -- 2.3.2.4 The Dialect
 Topography of Canada Project -- 2.3.3 Morphology and syntax --
 2.3.3.1 Disparate morphosyntactic variables -- 2.3.3.2 Syntax and
 ethnic minorities of CanE -- 2.3.4 Language attitudes, pragmatics and
 bilingualism -- 2.3.4.1 Language attitudes -- 2.3.4.2 Pragmatics
 'proper' -- 2.3.4.3 Bilingualism: code-switching -- 2.4 Summary of
 synchronic research on CanE -- 2.5 The shortage of diachronic studies
 on Canadian English -- 2.5.1 Apparent-time and real-time studies --
 2.5.2 Diachronic studies on Ontario English -- 2.5.3 Summary -- 3
 ONTARIO 1776-1850: AN EXTERNAL LANGUAGE HISTORY -- 3.1
 Preliminaries: settlement in waves -- 3.2 The first wave: American
 immigration.
 3.2.1 Demographic input until 1812 -- 3.2.1.1 American input --
 3.2.1.2 German speakers -- 3.2.1.3 Scottish Gaelic speakers and Scots
 speakers -- 3.2.1.4 The Irish before 1815 -- 3.2.1.5 French speakers
 -- 3.2.1.6 Dutch settlers -- 3.2.1.7 Loyalist First Nations -- 3.2.1.8
 Other immigrant groups -- 3.2.2 The size of the population in 1812 --
 3.3 The second wave -- 3.3.1 Disentangling dialects: Scottish, Irish and
 regional English English -- 3.3.1.2 Scottish immigrants: Gaelic, Scots
 and Scottish English -- 3.3.1.3 Irish immigration -- 3.3.1.4 Post-1815
 American immigration -- 3.3.1.5 German immigration -- 3.3.1.6 Other
 immigrant groups -- 3.3.2 Social stratification of second wave
 immigrants -- 3.3.3 Benchmarks of Early Ontarian society -- 3.3.3.1
 Schools and education -- 3.3.3.2 Demographic developments and
 mobility before the railway -- 3.4 Summary -- 4 THE CORPUS OF EARLY
 ONTARIO ENGLISH, PRE-CONFEDERATION SECTION (CONTE-pC) -- 4.1
 External periodization -- 4.2 Internal period division -- 4.3 What
 makes a text Ontarian? -- 4.3.1 Geographical considerations -- 4.3.2
 Questions of allegiance -- 4.3.2.1 Anne Powell -- 4.3.2.2 Eleanora
 Hallen -- 4.3.3 Summary -- 4.4 Corpus design -- 4.4.1 Source
 materials: genres selected -- 4.4.2 Social stratification -- 4.4.3 SIN
 speakers -- 4.4.4 Corpus size and sampling -- 4.5 Text samples --
 4.5.1 Local Ontario newspapers -- 4.5.2 Diaries -- 4.5.3 Letters: the
 'letters received' at the Archives of Ontario -- 4.6 Coding and text
 headers -- 5 NEW-DIALECT FORMATION IN EARLY ONTARIO -- 5.1 Two
 scenarios for the origin of CanE -- 5.1.1 Bloomfield (1948) -- 5.1.2
 Scargill (1957) and (1985) -- 5.2 Polygenetic theories of colonial
 Englishes -- 5.2.1 Notions of swamping -- 5.2.2 Dialect mixing proper
 -- 5.2.2.1 Founder principle -- 5.2.2.2 Colonial lag -- 5.2.3 Dialect
 mixing in early Ontario.
 5.3 New-dialect formation theory (Trudgill 2004) -- 5.3.1 Tabula rasa
 situations -- 5.3.2 Some other models -- 5.3.3 Six key processes --
 5.3.4 Three stages in new-dialect formation -- 5.3.4.1 Stage I: when
 adults accommodate -- 5.3.4.2 Stage II: Children select -- 5.3.4.3
 Stage III: The majority principle -- 5.3.4.4 Focussing -- 5.3.5
 Homogeneity and drift in CanE -- 5.3.6 Some problems of applying
 new-dialect formation theory -- 5.4 Summary -- 6 LATE MODERN
 ENGLISH MODAL AUXILIARIES: METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS --
 6.1 Definitions and terminology -- 6.1.1 Formal characteristics --
 6.1.2 Modality: root, deontic, dynamic, epistemic and other concepts --
 6.1.3 Epistemic uses since LModE: increase, stagnation or decline? --
 6.2 Semantic areas: choosing variables -- 6.2.1 Permission, ability and
 possibility: CAN/COULD and MAY/MIGHT -- 6.2.2 Obligation and
 necessity: MUST and HAVE TO -- 6.2.3 Volition and futurity: SHALL and
 WILL -- 6.2.4 SHOULD, WOULD and OUGHT TO -- 6.3 Methodological
 considerations -- 6.3.1 Comparing distributions: the parallel corpora

-- 6.3.2 Methodological caveat I: the letter sections -- 6.3.3 Methodological caveat II: how to trace historical connections -- 7 CAN (COULD) vs. MAY (MIGHT) -- 7.1 A diachronic sketch of CAN and MAY -- 7.2 Sentence types -- 7.2.1 Overall development in EModE and LModE -- 7.3 Semantic notions of CAN and MAY -- 7.3.1 Overall figures: distribution of functions -- 7.3.2 CAN in Root possibility and Permission uses -- 7.3.3 CAN in 25-year periods -- 7.3.4 CAN vs. MAY and the three stages of new-dialect formation -- 7.3.4.1 Middle vs. Lower Class speakers -- 7.3.4.2 Different levels of development and a new stage I -- 7.3.4.3 CONTE-pC and Trudgill (2004) -- 7.3.5 Long-term developments of epistemic and root uses -- 7.3.6 Summary -- 7.4 Functions of COULD and MIGHT -- 7.4.1 Negative contexts. 7.4.2 Affirmative contexts -- 7.4.3 COULD and MIGHT and the three stages of new-dialect formation -- 7.5 COULD and MIGHT: epistemic developments -- 7.6 Conclusion -- 8 MUST vs. HAVE TO -- 8.1 The variables MUST and competing semi-modals -- 8.1.1 HAVE TO -- 8.1.2 Controlling the variable contexts -- 8.2 The rise of HAVE TO -- 8.3 The modalities of MUST and HAVE TO -- 8.3.1 Development of LModE epistemic MUST -- 8.3.2 Coding for epistemic necessity: LModE competition -- 8.3.3 Epistemic and root uses: longterm perspective -- 8.4 Three stages of new-dialect formation and the rise of HAVE TO -- 8.5 Summary -- 9 SHALL vs. WILL -- 9.1 The prescriptive rule and previous research -- 9.2 SHALL and WILL -- 9.2.1 Semantic notions and the variable context -- 9.2.2 Data selection -- 9.2.3 SHALL and WILL and the choice of independent variables -- 9.2.3.1 Genre (text type) -- 9.2.3.2 Sentence type -- 9.2.4 SHALL and WILL in the first person -- 9.2.4.1 Diachronic development -- 9.2.4.2 SIN-speakers -- 9.2.4.3 Social class -- 9.2.4.4 SHALL and WILL and the three stages -- 9.2.5 SHALL and WILL in the second and third persons -- 9.2.5.1 Type of subject -- 9.2.5.2 Voice -- 9.2.5.3 The three stages -- 9.2.6 Summary -- 10 SHOULD, WOULD and OUGHT TO -- 10.1 The variable context -- 10.1.1 LModE variants -- 10.1.2 Semantic notions -- 10.2 Hypothetical SHOULD and WOULD -- 10.3 Non-hypothetical OUGHT TO, SHOULD and WOULD -- 10.3.1 LModE diachronic development in non-hypotheticals -- 10.3.2 Hypotheticals and non-hypotheticals in the three stages -- 10.4 Epistemic modality in early CanE -- 10.4.1 OUGHT TO -- 10.4.2 WOULD -- 10.4.3 SHOULD -- 10.5 Summary -- 11 CONCLUSION -- 11.1 The modals in early Canadian English -- 11.2 Epistemicity and late-modern CanE -- 11.3 Colonial lag and the founder principle -- 11.4 M. Bloomfield (1948) or Scargill (1957,1985). 11.5 The modal auxiliaries and the three stages of new-dialect formation -- 11.6 Dating Canadian English: focussing -- 11.7 Further research avenues -- 12 APPENDICES -- Appendix 1: Corpus of Early Ontario English -- Appendix 2: Ontario names -- Appendix 3: Immigration data -- Appendix 3.1: British Isles immigration -- Appendix 3.2: Arrivals at Quebec -- Appendix 3.3: First land surveys -- Appendix 3.4: Ontario districts -- Appendix 4: Demographic studies around 1812 -- Appendix 5: Social networks in early Ontario -- Appendix 6: Semi-Modals in early CanE -- Appendix 7: Statistics for CAN (COULD) / MAY (MIGHT) -- Appendix 7.1 -- Appendix 7.2 -- Appendix 7.3 -- Appendix 7.4 -- Appendix 7.5 -- Appendix 7.6 -- Appendix 7.7 -- Appendix 7.8 -- Appendix 7.9 -- Appendix 7.10 -- Appendix 7.11 -- Appendix 8: Statistics for MUST / HAVE TO -- Appendix 8.1 -- Appendix 8.2 -- Appendix 8.3 -- Appendix 8.4 -- Appendix 9: Statistics for SHALL / WILL -- Appendix 9.1 -- Appendix 9.2 -- Appendix 9.3 -- Appendix 10: Statistics for SHOULD / WOULD / OUGHT TO -- Appendix 10.1 -- Appendix 10.2 -- Appendix 10.3 -- Appendix 10.4 -- BIBLIOGRAPHY -- General Index -- The series

Sommario/riassunto

This book details the development of eleven modal auxiliaries in late 18th- and 19th-century Canadian English in a framework of new-dialect formation. The study assesses features of the modal auxiliaries, tracing influences to British and American input varieties, parallel developments, or Canadian innovations. The findings are based on the Corpus of Early Ontario English, pre-Confederation Section, the first electronic corpus of early Canadian English. The data, which are drawn from newspapers, diaries and letters, include original transcriptions from manuscript sources and texts from semi-literate writers. While the overall results are generally coherent with new-dialect formation theory, the Ontarian context suggests a number of adaptations to the current model. In addition to its general Late Modern English focus, *New-Dialect Formation in Canada* traces changes in epistemic modal functions up to the present day, offering answers to the loss of root uses in the central modals. By comparing Canadian with British and American data, important theoretical insights on the origins of the variety are gained. The study offers a sociohistorical perspective on a still understudied variety of North American English by combining language-internal features with settlement history in this first monograph-length, diachronic treatment of Canadian English in real time.

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9911044116303321
Autore	Vareide Knut
Titolo	Hvordan skape vekst? Kan steder påvirke sin egen vekst? Regional utvikling, sentralitet og attraktivitet / Knut Vareide
Pubbl/distr/stampa	[s.l.] : , : Cappelen Damm Forskning, , 2024
ISBN	82-02-83166-0 82-02-63779-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource
Soggetti	Social Science Social sciences
Lingua di pubblicazione	Tedesco
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Sommario/riassunto	Noen steder har befolkningsvekst og arbeidsplassvekst, mens andre steder opplever fallende folketall og antall arbeidsplasser. Hva har vært drivkreftene for den utviklingen vi har sett i kommuner og regioner i Norge siden 2000? En stor del av de regionale vekstmønstrene i Norge kan forklares av strukturelle forhold. Forskjeller i stedenes sentralitet, næringsstruktur og demografi kan forklare mye av de store ulikhetene i vekst som vi har sett de siste tjue årene. I denne boka viser vi hvordan vi har utviklet en regional vekstmodell, attraktivitetsmodellen, som kan forklare steders utvikling og predikere framtidig befolkningsutvikling. Befolkningsframskrivingene fra denne modellen avviker sterkt fra Statistisk sentralbyrås (SSB) befolkningsframskrivinger for mange kommuner, spesielt i distriktene. Hvis vi har rett, vil befolkningsutviklingen i distriktene bli vesentlig svakere enn det som SSB framskriver og som er lagt til grunn i distriktpolitikken i Norge. Noen kommuner har oppnådd høyere vekst enn forventet av modellen, enten ved at de har hatt høyere innflytting eller sterkere arbeidsplassvekst i næringslivet. Slike kommuner har vært attraktive for bosetting eller næringsliv og har oppnådd sterkere vekst enn de strukturelle betingelsene tilsier. De aller fleste kommunene ønsker å stimulere sin egen befolkningsutvikling for å unngå sterk aldring og

synkende barnetall i barnehager og skoler. I boka trekker vi fram mange eksempler på slike kommuner som har greid å vokse mer enn normalt.
