1. Record Nr. UNINA9910559392403321 **Autore** Salam M. Abdus (Mohammed Abdus), <1965-> **Titolo** Fundamentals of pneumatics and hydraulics / / Abdus Salam Pubbl/distr/stampa Singapore:,: Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.,, [2022] ©2022 **ISBN** 9789811908552 9789811908545 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (411 pages) 621.2 Disciplina Soggetti Hydraulic machinery Pneumatic machinery Electric power production

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index.

Record Nr. UNINA9910953386003321 Autore Smith Steven D (Steven Douglas), <1952-> **Titolo** Law's quandary / / Steven D. Smith Pubbl/distr/stampa Cambridge, Mass., : Harvard University Press, 2004 **ISBN** 9780674043824 0674043820 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica xiv, 206 p Disciplina 340.1 Soggetti Law - Philosophy Rule of law Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 181-202) and index. Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Preface -- Part I. Law and Metaphysics? --1. Just Words ? -- 2. Ontological Dynasties -- Part II. (How) Is Law for Real? -- 3. Does "the Law" Exist? -- 4. The Jurisprudence of Modernity -- Part III. The Metaphysics of Legal Meaning -- 5. How Does Law Mean? -- 6. Author(s)Wanted -- Part IV. Mind the Gap -- 7. Law in a Quandary -- Epilogue: Confusion and Confession -- Notes -- Index Sommario/riassunto This lively book reassesses a century of jurisprudential thought from a fresh perspective, and points to a malaise that currently afflicts not only legal theory but law in general. Steven Smith argues that our legal vocabulary and methods of reasoning presuppose classical ontological commitments that were explicitly articulated by thinkers from Aguinas to Coke to Blackstone, and even by Joseph Story. But these commitments are out of sync with the world view that prevails today in academic and professional thinking. So our law-talk thus degenerates into "just words"--or a kind of nonsense. The diagnosis is similar to that offered by Holmes, the Legal Realists, and other critics over the past century, except that these critics assumed that the older ontological commitments were dead, or at least on their way to extinction; so their aim was to purge legal discourse of what they saw

as an archaic and fading metaphysics. Smith's argument starts with essentially the same metaphysical predicament but moves in the opposite direction. Instead of avoiding or marginalizing the "ultimate

questions," he argues that we need to face up to them and consider their implications for law.