

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910953315503321
Titolo	Freedom of analysis? // edited by Sylvia Blaho, Patrik Bye, Martin Kramer
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Berlin ; ; New York, : Mouton de Gruyter, 2007
ISBN	9786612194498 9781282194496 1282194496 9783110198591 3110198592
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (396 p.)
Collana	Studies in generative grammar ; ; 95
Classificazione	ET 100
Altri autori (Persone)	BlahoSylvia <1979-> ByePatrik KramerMartin <1969->
Disciplina	414
Soggetti	Grammar, Comparative and general - Phonology Generative grammar Optimality theory (Linguistics)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 367-372) and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Chapter 1 Freedom of Analysis? -- Chapter 2 Laryngeal Underspecification and Richness of the Base -- Chapter 3 Underlying representations that do not minimize grammatical violations -- Chapter 4 Allomorphy - selection, not optimization -- Chapter 5 A freer input: Yowlumne opacity and the Enriched Input Model -- Chapter 6 Derived Environment Effects and Consistency of Exponence -- Chapter 7 Colored turbid accents and containment: A case study from lexical stress -- Chapter 8 Freedom, Interpretability, and the Loop -- Chapter 9 Restraint of Analysis -- Chapter 10 The roles of GEN and CON in modeling ternary rhythm -- Chapter 11 Representational complexity in syllable structure and its consequences for GEN and CON -- Chapter 12 Restricting GEN -- Chapter 13 The division of labor between segment-internal structure and violable constraints -- Chapter 14 Variables in Optimality Theory -- Backmatter

Sommario/riassunto

This volume draws together papers that argue for a renewed focus on the role of hard constraints on phonological representations as well as the processes that operate on them. These are issues that have been sidelined since the shift in emphasis in phonological research to functionally grounded output-oriented constraints. Taking Optimality Theory as their starting point, the articles attack the question to what degree the Generator function Gen should be given freedom of analysis on three fronts. (1) What is the nature of the representations that Gen manipulates? Is a return to more articulated theories of segmental and prosodic representation desirable? (2) What restrictions might there be on the operations that Gen carries out on representations? Should Gen be endowed with structure-changing potential, as assumed in work couched within Correspondence Theory, or is a return to the principle of Containment preferable? Should Gen be restricted in the number of edits it can carry out at any one time? Should Gen be restricted to generating phonetically interpretable candidates? (3) What is the relationship between Gen and functionally arbitrary or opaque phonological patterns? Should Gen's freedom be restricted in order to account for language-specific phonology? The solutions offered to these questions bear significantly on current issues that are of fundamental concern in linguistic theory, including representations, parallelism vs. serialism, and the division of labour between linguistic modules. The authors scrutinize these issues using data from a variety of unrelated languages, including Czech, English, Greek, Haitian Creole, Hawaiian, Lardil, Spanish, Turkish, and Yowlumne.
