

1. Record Nr.	UNISALENT0991002416899707536
Autore	Hansotte, Georges
Titolo	Inventaire des archives du charbonnage des Six-Bonniers à Seraing / par Georges Hansotte
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Bruxelles : [s.n.], 1959
Descrizione fisica	19 p. ; 26 cm
Altri autori (Enti)	Archives de l'État <Liegi>
Disciplina	338.272
Soggetti	Carbone - Belgio - Storia - Fonti
Lingua di pubblicazione	Francese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910917172003321
Autore	Jorm Anthony
Titolo	Expert Consensus in Science // by Anthony Jorm
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Singapore : , : Springer Nature Singapore : , : Imprint : Palgrave Macmillan, , 2025
ISBN	9789819792221 9819792223
Edizione	[1st ed. 2025.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (241 pages)
Disciplina	501.9
Soggetti	Science - Social aspects Expertise Science - Philosophy Science in popular culture Communication in science Science and Technology Studies Expertise Studies Sociology of Science Philosophy of Science Public Understanding of Science Science Communication

Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	<p>Chapter 1: The Controversy over Expert Consensus in Science -- Chapter 2: Consensus Pervades Scientific Processes -- Chapter 3: Expert Consensus to Establish Scientific Truths -- Chapter 4: Spontaneous and Deliberative Processes to Reach Consensus -- Chapter 5: Expert Consensus to Guide Practice and Policy -- Chapter 6: Expert Consensus on Research Methods -- Chapter 7: Specifying "Experts" and "Consensus" -- Chapter 8: Methods for Determining Deliberative Consensus -- Chapter 9: How Wisdom-of-Crowds Research Can Help Improve Deliberative Consensus Methods -- Chapter 10: Towards a "Wisdom of Scientific Crowds" -- Chapter 11: Using Expert Consensus to Persuade the Public.</p>
Sommario/riassunto	<p>This Open Access book shows how expert consensus pervades all areas of science. It explores, in particular, the role of consensus in establishing scientific truth, in guiding professional practice and policy and agreeing on what are acceptable scientific methodologies. For some scientific issues, a consensus forms spontaneously among scientists working on a topic, while for others, where the issues are complex, a formal deliberative consensus process is commonly needed. Deliberative consensus processes are becoming more important as scientists increasingly deal with complex multi-disciplinary issues of policy importance such as climate change due to human activity. While deliberative consensus processes are commonly used, they often lead to criticism from consensus skeptics. The book argues that deliberative consensus processes in science can be improved and proposes a number of realistic ways forward, ending with a discussion of whether communicating the scientific consensus on a topic is a good way to persuade the public. Anthony Jorm is an Emeritus Professor at the University of Melbourne and National Health & Medical Research Council Leadership Fellow. His research focuses on building the community's capacity for prevention and early intervention with mental disorders. He has particular methodological expertise in the use of the Delphi consensus method in health research. He is Editor-in-Chief of the journal Mental Health & Prevention. He is the co-founder and Director of the not-for-profit organization Mental Health First Aid International.</p>