

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910877368603321
Titolo	Guidelines for chemical process quantitative risk analysis
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, c2000
ISBN	1-282-78332-7 9786612783326 0-470-93542-1 1-59124-456-0 0-470-93541-3
Edizione	[2nd ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (786 p.)
Disciplina	660/.2804
Soggetti	Chemical plants - Risk assessment
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Guidelines for Chemical Process Quantitative Risk Analysis; Contents; Preface; Preface to the First Edition; Acknowledgments; Acknowledgments to the First Edition; Management Overview; Organization of the Guidelines; Acronyms; 1 Chemical Process Quantitative Risk Analysis; 1.1. CPQRA Definitions; 1.2. Component Techniques of CPQRA; 1.2.1. Complete CPQRA Procedure; 1.2.2. Prioritized CPQRA Procedure; 1.3. Scope of CPQRA Studies; 1.3.1. The Study Cube; 1.3.2. Typical Goals of CPQRAs; 1.4. Management of Incident Lists; 1.4.1. Enumeration; 1.4.2. Selection; 1.4.3. Tracking 1.5. Applications of CPQRA1.5.1. Screening Techniques; 1.5.2. Applications within Existing Facilities; 1.5.3. Applications within New Projects; 1.6. Limitations of CPQRA; 1.7. Current Practices; 1.8. Utilization of CPQRA Results; 1.9. Project Management; 1.9.1. Study Goals; 1.9.2. Study Objectives; 1.9.3. Depth of Study; 1.9.4. Special User Requirements; 1.9.5. Construction of a Project Plan; 1.9.6. Project Execution; 1.10. Maintenance of Study Results; 1.11. References; 2 Consequence Analysis; 2.1. Source Models; 2.1.1. Discharge Rate Models; 2.1.2. Flash and Evaporation 2.1.3. Dispersion Models2.2. Explosions and Fires; 2.2.1. Vapor Cloud

Explosions (VCE); 2.2.2. Flash Fires; 2.2.3. Physical Explosion; 2.2.4. BLEVE and Fireball; 2.2.5. Confined Explosions; 2.2.6. Pool Fires; 2.2.7. Jet Fires; 2.3. Effect Models; 2.3.1. Toxic Gas Effects; 2.3.2. Thermal Effects; 2.3.3. Explosion Effects; 2.4. Evasive Actions; 2.4.1. Background; 2.4.2. Description; 2.4.3. Example Problem; 2.4.4. Discussion; 2.5. Modeling Systems; 2.6. References; 3 Event Probability and Failure Frequency Analysis; 3.1. Incident Frequencies from the Historical Record; 3.1.1. Background
3.1.2. Description
3.1.3. Sample Problem; 3.1.4. Discussion; 3.2. Frequency Modeling Techniques; 3.2.1. Fault Tree Analysis; 3.2.2. Event Tree Analysis; 3.3. Complementary Plant-Modeling Techniques; 3.3.1. Common Cause Failure Analysis; 3.3.2. Human Reliability Analysis; 3.3.3. External Events Analysis; 3.4. References; 4 Measurement, Calculation, and Presentation of Risk Estimates; 4.1. Risk Measures; 4.1.1. Risk Indices; 4.1.2. Individual Risk; 4.1.3. Societal Risk; 4.1.4. Injury Risk Measures; 4.2. Risk Presentation; 4.2.1. Risk Indices; 4.2.2. Individual Risk; 4.2.3. Societal Risk
4.3. Selection of Risk Measures and Presentation Format
4.3.1. Selection of Risk Measures; 4.3.2. Selection of Presentation Format; 4.4. Risk Calculations; 4.4.1. Individual Risk; 4.4.2. Societal Risk; 4.4.3. Risk Indices; 4.4.4. General Comments; 4.4.5. Example Risk Calculation Problem; 4.4.6. Sample Problem Illustrating That F-N Curves Cannot Be Calculated from Individual Risk Contours; 4.5. Risk Uncertainty, Sensitivity, and Importance; 4.5.1. Uncertainty; 4.5.2. Sensitivity; 4.5.3. Importance; 4.6. References; 5 Creation of CPQRA Data Base; 5.1. Historical incident Data
5.1.1. Types of Data

Sommario/riassunto

Chemical process quantitative risk analysis (CPQRA) as applied to the CPI was first fully described in the first edition of this CCPS Guidelines book. This second edition is packed with information reflecting advances in this evolving methodology, and includes worked examples on a CD-ROM. CPQRA is used to identify incident scenarios and evaluate their risk by defining the probability of failure, the various consequences and the potential impact of those consequences. It is an invaluable methodology to evaluate these when qualitative analysis cannot provide adequate understanding and when more
