Record Nr. UNINA9910861971703321 Titolo Closing Evidence Gaps in Clinical Prevention Washington, D.C.:,: National Academies Press,, 2022 Pubbl/distr/stampa ©2022 **ISBN** 0-309-26961-X Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (219 pages) Collana Consensus study report Altri autori (Persone) DivisionHealth and Medicine PracticeBoard on Population Health and Public Health RecommendationsCommittee on Addressing Evidence Gaps in Clinical Prevention LieuTracy A StrattonKathleen WoitowiczAlexis Disciplina 616 Soggetti Clinical medicine Evidence-based medicine Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di contenuto The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE --COMMITTEE ON ADDRESSING EVIDENCE GAPS IN CLINICAL PREVENTION RECOMMENDATIONS -- Reviewers -- Preface -- Acronyms and Abbreviations -- Summary -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Clinical Practice Guidelines and the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force -- 3. Clinical Prevention Research Enterprise -- 4. Taxonomy and Workflow -- 5. Recommendations -- A. Topics with "I Statements" from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force -- B. Research Methods -- C. Public Meeting Agendas -- D. Committee Member and Staff Biographies -- E. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force's Reports to Congress -- F. U.S. Preventive Service Task Force Research Needs Statements from I Statements Issued Between 2017 and 2021. Closing Evidence Gaps in Clinical Prevention, a new consensus study Sommario/riassunto report from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine's Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice,

evaluates evidence gaps in clinical prevention recommendations

described by the United States Preventive Services Task Force and other clinical practice guideline developers and presents a taxonomy of these evidence gaps for future use. This report aims to improve the coordination of efforts to describe and communicate priority evidence gaps among funders and researchers. It also proposes new opportunities for collaboration among researchers, funders, and guideline developers to accelerate research that could close evidence gaps. The authoring committee has also developed an interactive graphic that can be used as a workflow diagram for implementing the taxonomy. This workflow walks users through reviewing evidence, characterizing evidence gaps using relevant taxonomies, and developing a research agenda. Click here to view and engage with the interactive graphic.