

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910829190903321
Autore	Dibadj Reza R. <1970->
Titolo	Rescuing regulation [[electronic resource]] / Reza R. Dibadj
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Albany, NY, : State University of New York Press, c2006
ISBN	0-7914-8109-3 1-4294-1364-6
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (238 p.)
Disciplina	343/.07
Soggetti	Police power - Philosophy Industrial laws and legislation Industrial laws and legislation - Social aspects Trade regulation Trade regulation - Social aspects
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 181-209) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Traditional perspectives -- Lambasting regulation -- Where is society left? -- Beyond flawed assumptions -- Toward new research -- Substantive reform -- Institutional changes.
Sommario/riassunto	The traditional debate on governmental regulation has run its course, with economically minded analysts pointing to regulation's inefficiency while those focused on justice purposefully avoid the economic paradigm to defend regulation's role in protecting consumers, workers, and society's disadvantaged. In Rescuing Regulation, Reza R. Dibadj challenges both camps. He squarely addresses the shortcomings of the conventional economic critique that portrays regulation as a waste, and also confronts those focused on justice to marshal economic arguments for public intervention against social inequities and abusive market behavior. Providing novel answers to the questions of why and how to regulate, Dibadj contends that the law and economics paradigm must not remain an apologist for laissez-faire public policy. He also demonstrates how incorporating the latest economics and revamping institutions can help improve our public agencies. Rescuing Regulation not only suggests ways to develop public institutions reflective of a democracy, but also broadly outlines how social science can inform

normative legal discourse.
