

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910828517803321  |
| Titolo                  | Romance languages and linguistic theory 2009 : selected papers from "Going Romance" Nice 2009 // edited by Janine Berns, Haike Jacobs, Tobias Scheer   |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia, : J. Benjamins, 2011   |
| ISBN                    | 1-283-32900-X<br>9786613329004<br>90-272-8218-8  |
| Edizione                | [1st ed.]  |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (402 p.)   |
| Collana                 | Romance languages and linguistic theory (RLLT) ; ; v. 3  |
| Altri autori (Persone)  | BernsJanine<br>JacobsHaike <1961-><br>ScheerTobias <1968->   |
| Disciplina              | 440  |
| Soggetti                | Romance languages  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Note generali           | Description based upon print version of record.  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references and index.   |
| Nota di contenuto       | Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2009; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; Introduction; Expressing contrast in Romanian; 1. Introduction; 1.1 Iar and the Romanian coordination system in a Romance perspective; 1.2 An overview of the uses of iar; 2. Constraints on iar; 2.1 Information Structure; 2.2 Syntax; 3. Double contrastiveness; 3.1 Double contrastiveness and quantification; 3.2 Predicate negation: Comparison with dar and si; 3.3 A blocking analysis of Romanian connectives; 3.4 Taking stock; 4. Conclusion; References; When the benefit is on the fringe<br>1. Introduction2. Arguments against a low applicative; 2.1 Pylkkanen 2008; 2.2 Challenges to the received applicative analysis; 3. French non-core datives; 3.1 Low applicative properties; 3.2 High applicative properties; 3.3 Summary; 4. Coreferential Dative Constructions; 5. Benefactive vs. Coreferential non-core datives; 6. Syntactic analysis; 6.1 The syntactic difference between BDs and CDs; 6.2 Embedding under causative-faire; 7. Conclusion; References; Degree fronting in Quebec French and the syntactic structure of degree quantifier DPS; 1. Introduction; 2. Degree Fronting and IAD |

2.1 Degree Fronting in English 2.2 Intensification at a Distance; 3. A movement analysis of IAD?; 3.1 Matushansky (2002); 3.2 Arguments against movement: IAD in Quebec French; 3.3 Degree quantifiers within DP; 4. Semantic variation and analysis; 4.1 Dialectal variation; 4.2 Towards a Compositional Semantics for IAD; 5. Conclusion; References; On sentence-internal le meme ('the same') in French and Pluractionality; 1. Introduction; 2. The problem of definiteness: Le meme as a complex determiner; 2.1 Presuppositions; 2.2 Specificity; 2.3 DP-internal distribution of meme 3. The problem of compositionality: Le meme as an existential quantifier 3.1 Distributivity; 3.2 Le meme and syntactic movement; 3.2.1 Quantifier raising; 3.2.2 Scope phenomena; 4. The event hypothesis; 5. Relation to pluractionality; 6. Conclusion; References; Topic prominence is not a factor of variation between Brazilian and European Portuguese; 1. Introduction; 2. BP as a discourse oriented language; 3. BP's topic-prominent properties in EP; 4. The locus of variation; 5. Conclusions; References; When dialectology studies contribute to lexical semantics and to etymology 1. Why is Dialectology able to bring such a fundamental contribution to Etymology and to the diachronic study of the lexicon? 2. Is the classical approach in Etymology not a lexical reconstruction?; 3. What does the etymological approach consist in when attested Latin data lack?; Example 1 fr. caliner; Example 2 fr. petit ; occ. petito; Example 3 fr. chat-huant; Example 4 fr. drole; occ.: drole; 4. Other possibility: The Latin source exists but cannot be located; Example 5 the mysterious name of a the swift in the Friuli, Italy] Example 6 the name of the Mistle Thrush in a part of the Occitan area

---

Sommario/riassunto

The annual Going Romance conference has developed into the major European discussion forum for theoretically relevant research on Romance languages where current ideas about language in general and about Romance languages in particular are tested. The twenty-third Going Romance conference was a very special one: for the first time it was not hosted by one of the Dutch universities, but was co-organized by the Radboud University Nijmegen and the Universite de Nice-Sophia Antipolis and held in France at the Maison du Seminaire in Nice from 3-5 December 2009. The present volume cont

---