Record Nr. UNINA9910828490603321 Autore Anonymus (Londiniensis) Titolo Anonymus Iondiniensis : de medicina / / edidit Daniela Manetti Berlin; ; New York, : De Gruyter, c2011 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-283-39959-8 9786613399595 3-11-023903-5 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (160 p.) Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana., 1864-Collana 399X FH 75800 Classificazione Altri autori (Persone) ManettiDaniela Disciplina 610.938 Soggetti Medicine, Greek and Roman Medicine - Philosophy Lingua di pubblicazione Latino **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- HOC VOLVMINE CONTINENTVR -- PRAEFATIO -- DE HVIVS EDITIONIS RATIONE -- CONSPECTVS EDITIONVM -- CONSPECTVS LIBRORVM -- CONSPECTVS SIGLORVM -- Anonymi Londiniensis latrica -- Fragmenta maiora -- Fragmenta incertae sedis apud D. -- Index verborum et nominum Great change has pervaded the evaluation of this text, since it was first Sommario/riassunto published by Diels in 1893: it appeared to be a text consisting of notes on an introductory course of medicine, badly copied by a scribe or an uneducated pupil, probably written in the age of Domitian or Trajan. Its most disturbing aspect was the presence of a doxography on the causes of disease, attributed to Aristotle, recording numerous doxai of 5th and 4th century physicians and philosophers, including Hippocrates, who constituted the crux of the controversy, because the figure ill accorded with the image that had taken shape in nineteenthcentury historiography. In recent years new insights have shown that actually it is an autograph, an unfinished draft, that the author, to be dated to 1st cent. AD, excerpted earlier derivative literature but has also views of his own, that the doxography derived from 'Aristotle' is to be clearly placed in the early Peripatetic setting, that the physiological

section, which follows, has a background of school practice in

dialectical argument, that the main authorities "ed in the text (Herophilus, Erasistratus and Asclepiades) have different roles (Herophilus's is the most positive) but the authors always feels at liberty to confute their opinions and treats them as characters of the same scientific context.