1. Record Nr. UNINA9910826761803321

Autore	Matava Robert Joseph
Titolo	Divine causality and human free choice : Domingo Banez, physical premotion, and the controversy de Auxiliis revisited / / Robert Joseph Matava
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leiden, Netherlands ; ; Boston, Massachusetts : , : Brill, , 2016 ©2016
ISBN	90-04-31031-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (377 p.)
Collana	Brill's Studies in Intellectual History, , 0920-8607 ; ; Volume 252
Disciplina	233/.7
Soggetti	Free will and determinism - Religious aspects - Catholic Church Molinism
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Preliminary Material / R.J. Matava -- Prologue / R.J. Matava -- 1 An Historical Introduction to the Controversy de Auxiliis / R.J. Matava -- 2 Domingo Báñez on Divine Causality and Human Free Choice / R.J. Matava -- 3 Domingo Báñez's Critique of Molina / R.J. Matava -- 4 Luis de Molina's Critique of Báñez / R.J. Matava -- 5 Physical Premotion or Aristotelian Premotion? The Proposal of Bernard Lonergan / R.J. Matava -- 6 Creation, Causal Priority and Human Freedom: Revisiting Thomas Aquinas / R.J. Matava -- 7 God Creates Human Free Choices: An Explanation and Defense / R.J. Matava -- Epilogue / R.J. Matava -- Select Bibliography / R.J. Matava -- Index / R.J. Matava.
Sommario/riassunto	In Divine Causality and Human Free Choice , R.J. Matava explains the idea of physical premotion defended by Domingo Báñez, whose position in the Controversy de Auxiliis has been typically ignored in contemporary discussions of providence and freewill. Through a close engagement with untranslated primary texts, Matava shows Báñez's relevance to recent debates about middle knowledge. Finding the mutual critiques of Báñez and Molina convincing, Matava argues that common presuppositions led both parties into an insoluble dilemma. However, Matava also challenges the informal consensus that Lonergan definitively resolved the controversy. Developing a position independently advanced by several recent scholars, Matava explains

how the doctrine of creation entails a position that is more satisfactory both philosophically and as a reading of Aquinas.