

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910826135503321
Autore	Taylor David <1946 May 10->
Titolo	Memory, narrative and the great war : rifleman Patrick Macgill and the construction of wartime experience / / David Taylor [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Liverpool : , : Liverpool University Press, , 2013
ISBN	1-78138-933-0 1-84631-803-3
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (viii, 227 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	940.3
Soggetti	World War, 1914-1918 - Psychological aspects
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 11 Aug 2017).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Half-title Page; Title Page; Copyright; Contents; Acknowledgements; List of Illustrations; Introduction: The Problem with Pat; Part I: The Broader Context; 1 Some Changing Perspectives on the Great War; 2 Memories and Narratives of War; 3 Sources: Some Problems and Findings; Part II: The War Writings of Patrick MacGill; 4 At the Front: Fighting and Writing the War; 5 Writing the War from the Home Front; 6 The War in Retrospect; Conclusion: Changing Perspectives and Coming to Terms with the War; Select Bibliography; Notes; Index
Sommario/riassunto	Memory, Narrative and the Great War provides a detailed examination of the varied and complex war writings of a relatively marginal figure, Patrick MacGill, within a general framework of our current pre-occupation with blood, mud and suffering. In particular, it seeks to explain how his interpretation of war shifted from the heroic wartime autobiographical trilogy, with its emphasis on 'the romance of the rifleman' to the pessimistic and guilt-ridden interpretations in his post-war novel, <i>Fear!</i> , and play, <i>Suspense</i> . Through an exploration of the way in which war-time experiences were remembered (and re-remembered) and retold in strikingly different narratives, and using insights from cognitive psychology, it is argued that there is no contradiction between these two seemingly opposing views. Instead it is argued that, given the present orientation and problem-solving

nature of both memory and narrative, the different interpretations are both 'true' in the sense that they throw light on the ongoing way in which MacGill came to terms with his experiences of war. This in turn has implications for broader interpretations of the Great War, which has increasingly been seen in terms of futile suffering, not least because of the eloquent testimony of ex-Great War soldiers, reflecting on their experiences many years after the event. Without suggesting that such testimony is invalid, it is argued that this is one view but not the only view of the war. Rather wartime memory and narrative is more akin to an ever-changing kaleidoscope, in which pieces of memory take on different (but equally valid) shapes as they are shaken with the passing of time.
