

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910825987603321
Autore	Gerber Elisabeth R. <1964->
Titolo	The populist paradox : interest group influence and the promise of direct legislation // Elisabeth R. Gerber
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Princeton, N.J. : , : Princeton University Press, , 1999
ISBN	1-4008-2330-7 9786613339713 1-4008-1172-4 1-283-33971-4
Edizione	[Core Textbook]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (186 pages) : illustrations
Disciplina	324/.4/0973
Soggetti	Referendum - United States Pressure groups - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Figures -- Tables -- Acknowledgments -- 1. What Is the Populist Paradox? -- 2. Interest Group Choice -- 3. Direct Legislation Hurdles -- 4. Group Characteristics and Resources -- 5. Motivations and Strategies -- 6. Motivations and Strategies -- 7. Indirect Policy Consequences -- 8. The Populist Paradox: Reality or Illusion? -- Appendix A. Direct Legislation Institutions -- Appendix B. Survey of Organizations -- References -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Do small but wealthy interest groups influence referendums, ballot initiatives, and other forms of direct legislation at the expense of the broader public interest? Many observers argue that they do, often lamenting that direct legislation has, paradoxically, been captured by the very same wealthy interests whose power it was designed to curb. Elisabeth Gerber, however, challenges that argument. In this first systematic study of how money and interest group power actually affect direct legislation, she reveals that big spending does not necessarily mean big influence. Gerber bases her findings on extensive surveys of the activities and motivations of interest groups and on close examination of campaign finance records from 168 direct legislation campaigns in eight states. Her research confirms what such

wealthy interests as the insurance industry, trial lawyer associations, and tobacco companies have learned by defeats at the ballot box: if citizens do not like a proposed new law, even an expensive, high-profile campaign will not make them change their mind. She demonstrates, however, that these economic interest groups have considerable success in using direct legislation to block initiatives that others are proposing and to exert pressure on politicians. By contrast, citizen interest groups with broad-based support and significant organizational resources have proven to be extremely effective in using direct legislation to pass new laws. Clearly written and argued, this is a major theoretical and empirical contribution to our understanding of the role of citizens and organized interests in the American legislative process.
