

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910825652403321
Autore	Songer Donald R.
Titolo	Law, ideology, and collegiality : judicial behaviour in the Supreme Court of Canada // Donald R. Songer ... [et al.]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Montreal ; ; Ithaca, : McGill-Queen's University Press, 2012
ISBN	0-7735-3929-8
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (236 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	SongerDonald R
Disciplina	347.7
Soggetti	Judges - Canada - Attitudes Judicial process - Canada Political questions and judicial power - Canada Canada
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [187]-211) and index.
Nota di contenuto	The Supreme Court's evolving role -- Theories of Supreme Courts' decision making -- The process of decision making -- The dimensionality of voting -- Measuring ideology and justices' votes -- The socio-political bases of attitudinal voting -- The attitudinal model and the puzzle of unanimity -- Conclusions. Attitudinal decision making and the Supreme Court.
Sommario/riassunto	"In a ground-breaking study on the nature of judicial behaviour in the Supreme Court of Canada, Donald Songer, Susan Johnson, C.L. Ostberg, and Matthew Wetstein use three specific research strategies to consider the ways in which justices seek to make decisions grounded in "good law" and to show how these decisions are shaped within a collegial court. The authors use confidential interviews with Supreme Court justices, analysis of their rulings from 1970 to 2005, and measures that tap their perceived ideological tendencies to provide a critical examination of the ideological roots of judicial decision making, uncovering the complexity of contemporary judicial behaviour. Examining judicial behaviour through the lens of three different research strategies grounded in qualitative and quantitative methodologies, Law, Ideology, and Collegiality presents compelling evidence that political ideology is a key factor in decision making and a

prominent source of conflict in the Supreme Court of Canada."--Back
cover.
