

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910825219703321
Autore	Ravina Mark <1961->
Titolo	Land and lordship in early modern Japan // Mark Ravina
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Stanford, Calif., : Stanford University Press, 1999
ISBN	0-8047-6386-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (294 p.)
Disciplina	952/.025
Soggetti	Japan Politics and government 1600-1868 Yonezawa-han (Japan) Politics and government Tokushima-han (Japan) Politics and government Hirosaki-han (Japan) Politics and government
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [255]-269) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Acknowledgments -- Contents -- Tables and Figures -- Maps -- Abbreviations -- A Note to the Reader -- Introduction -- 1 Land and Lordship: Ideology and Political Practice in Early Modern Japan -- 2 The Nerves of the State: The Political Economy of Daimyo Rule -- 3 Profit and Propriety: Political Economy in Yonezawa -- 4 Land and Labor: Political Economy in Hirosaki -- 5 Markets and Mercantilism: Political Economy in Tokushima -- Conclusion -- Appendix -- Glossary -- Notes -- Bibliography -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	Examining local politics in three Japanese domains (Yonezawa, Tokushima, and Hirosaki), this book shows how warlords (daimyo) and their samurai adapted the theory and practice of warrior rule to the peacetime challenges of demographic change and rapid economic growth in the mid-Tokugawa period. The author has a dual purpose. The first is to examine the impact of shogunate/domain relations on warlord legitimacy. Although the shogunate had supreme power in foreign and military affairs, it left much of civil law in the hands of warlords. In this civil realm, Japan resembled a federal union (or "compound state"), with the warlords as semi-independent sovereigns, rather than a unified kingdom with the shogunate as sovereign. The warlords were thus both vassals of the shogun and independent lords. In the process of his analysis, the author puts forward a new theory of

warlord legitimacy in order to explain the persistence of their autonomy in civil affairs. The second purpose is to examine the quantitative dimension of warlord rule. Daimyo, the author argues, struggled against both economic and demographic pressures. It is in these struggles that domains manifested most clearly their autonomy, developing distinctive regional solutions to the problems of protoindustrialization and peasant depopulation. In formulating strategies to promote and control economic growth and to increase the peasant population, domains drew heavily on their claims to semisovereign authority and developed policies that anticipated practices of the Meiji state.
