Record Nr.	UNINA9910824549103321
Autore	Hopman Marianne Govers <1974->
Titolo	Scylla : myth, metaphor, paradox / / Marianne Govers Hopman
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2012
ISBN	1-107-23666-5 1-139-85421-6 1-139-84601-9 1-139-84513-6 1-139-20858-6 1-139-84277-3 1-139-84039-8 1-283-87093-2 1-139-84158-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xix, 300 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Classificazione	HIS000000
Disciplina	292.1/3
Soggetti	Scylla and Charybdis (Greek mythology) Monsters in literature Monsters in art
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	pt. 1. Scylla in the Odyssey: 1. The impregnable monster. 2. A poetic hazard. 3. The gullet of the sea. 4. Puzzles and riddles pt. 2. Scylla in Classical Greece: 5. A feminine composite. 6. Scylla as Femme Fatale. 7. The untamed maiden pt. 3. Scylla in Hellenistic Greece and Rome: 8. Rationalizing the monster. 9. Organizing the tradition. 10. Roman versions of a Greek name. 11. Psychology and re-semanticization in Ovid's Metamorphoses.
Sommario/riassunto	What's in a name? Using the example of a famous monster from Greek myth, this book challenges the dominant view that a mythical symbol denotes a single, clear-cut 'figure' and proposes instead to define the name 'Scylla' as a combination of three concepts - sea, dog and woman - whose articulation changes over time. While archaic and classical Greek versions usually emphasize the metaphorical coherence of

1.

Scylla's components, the name is increasingly treated as a well-defined but also paradoxical construct from the late fourth century BCE onward. Proceeding through detailed analyses of Greek and Roman texts and images, Professor Hopman shows how the same name can variously express anxieties about the sea, dogs, aggressive women and shy maidens, thus offering an empirical response to the semiotic puzzle raised by non-referential proper names.