Record Nr. UNINA9910823724103321 Autore Scarre Geoffrey Titolo Utilitarianism / / Geoffrey Scarre Pubbl/distr/stampa London;; New York,: Routlege, 1996 **ISBN** 1-85278-097-5 1-134-82792-X 0-203-21376-9 0-203-29228-6 1-280-18722-0 1-134-82793-8 Edizione [1st ed.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (234 p.) Collana Problems of Philosophy Disciplina 144.6 171.5 171/.5 Soggetti **Ethics** Utilitarianism Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Includes bibliographical references (p. 212-221) and index. Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto Book Cover: Title: Contents: Preface: Introduction: The Character of the Theory; Four Ancient Moralists; Jesus; Aristotle; Epicurus; Utilitarianism and Enlightenment; Chastellux and Helvetius; Hutcheson; Hume; Priestley and Paley; Godwin; Bentham; John Stuart Mill; James Mill; The importance of character; Higher and lower pleasures; The 'proof of utility'; Utility and justice; Some Later Developments; Ideal Utilitarianism: Moore and Rashdall; Rule-utilitarianism; Happiness and Other Ends; Dominant-and inclusive-end conceptions of happiness; Problems about multiple ends Two contrasting responsesMaximisation, Fairness and Respect for Persons; Panem et circenses; 'Whoever debases others is debasing himself'; But should the consequences count?; Limitations of the selfrespect argument; Archangels, proles and the natural man; Utilitarianism and Personality; The hard line: utilitarians should be

saints; A softer line: utilitarians may be human; Maximisation and

## Sommario/riassunto

alienation; Non-alienating direct utilitarianism; Notes; Bibliography; Index

Surveying the historical development and the present condition of utilitarian ethics, Geoffrey Scarre examines the major philosophers from Lao Tzu in the fifth century BC to Richard Hare in the twentieth. Utilitarianism traces the 'doctrine of utility' from the moralists of the ancient world, through the Enlightenment and Victorian utilitarianism up to the lively debate of the present day. Utilitarianism today faces challenges on several fronts: it cannot warrant the drawing of adequate protective boundaries around the essential interests of individuals, and it does not allow them the