Record Nr.	UNINA9910822901303321
Autore Titolo	Acharya Amitav Civilizations in embrace : the spread of ideas and the transformation of power : India and Southeast Asia in the classical age / / Amitav Acharya [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Singapore : , : Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, , 2013
ISBN	981-4379-74-3
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xv, 88 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Collana	Nalanda-Sriwijaya research series
Disciplina	303.4825105
Soggetti	HISTORY / Asia / India & South Asia Southeast Asia Civilization Indic influences Southeast Asia Politics and government India Relations Southeast Asia Southeast Asia Relations India
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 24 Nov 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter Contents Foreword Preface Acknowledgements About the Author 1 Introduction 2 Debating Indian Influence in Southeast Asia 3 "Indianization", "Localization" or "Convergence"? 4 Understanding How and Why Ideas Spread 5 "Hellenization" of the Mediterranean compared to "Indianization" of Southeast Asia: Two Paradigms of Cultural Diffusion? 6 Final Thoughts Photo Section Bibliography Index
Sommario/riassunto	This study revisits one of the most extensive examples of the spread of ideas in the history of civilization: the diffusion of Indian religious and political ideas to Southeast Asia before the advent of Islam and European colonialism. Hindu and Buddhist concepts and symbols of kingship and statecraft helped to legitimize Southeast Asian rulers, and transform the political institutions and authority of Southeast Asia. But the process of this diffusion was not accompanied by imperialism, political hegemony, or "colonization" as conventionally understood. This book investigates different explanations of the spread of Indian ideas offered by scholars, including why and how it occurred and what were its key political and institutional outcomes. It challenges the view

1.