

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910822815403321
Autore	Roberts Christopher (Lawyer)
Titolo	The British courts and extra-territoriality in Japan, 1859-1899 // by Christopher Roberts
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leiden : , : Brill, , 2013
ISBN	90-04-25855-8
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (474 p.)
Disciplina	347.41/04
Soggetti	Consular jurisdiction Courts - Great Britain - History Exterritoriality Jurisdiction - Great Britain - History Great Britain Commerce Japan History Great Britain Foreign relations Japan Japan Commerce Great Britain History Japan Foreign relations Great Britain
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Preliminary Material / Robert Lanning -- British Extra-territoriality / Robert Lanning -- The Players in the Legal System / Robert Lanning -- Criminal Jurisdiction / Robert Lanning -- The Sea / Robert Lanning -- Civil Jurisdiction / Robert Lanning -- Appeals / Robert Lanning -- The Chishima-Ravenna Collision / Robert Lanning -- The End of Extra-Territoriality / Robert Lanning -- Conclusion / Robert Lanning -- Epilogue / Robert Lanning -- Appendices / Robert Lanning -- Sources and Bibliography / Robert Lanning -- Index / Robert Lanning.
Sommario/riassunto	In The British Courts and Extra-territoriality in Japan, 1859-1899 , Christopher Roberts reviews the Courts' day-to-day workings and examines the nature of, and fluctuations in, their case-load. By examining the Courts' case-load, it shows that, whilst some complaints that earlier commentators have made about the system's structure and the Consuls' lack of legal training and poor judgments may have been justified initially, the British authorities responded to them so that, over time, the Courts—and the practitioners within the system—came to

reflect an increasing professionalism and sophistication. Using both a quantitative and a qualitative analysis of the reported cases, the author concludes that accusations of an anti-Japanese, pro-British bias on the part of the Courts are overstated.
