

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910822173003321
Autore	Mihaila Corin
Titolo	The Paul-Apollos relationship and Paul's stance toward Greco-Roman rhetoric : an exegetical and socio-historical study of 1 Corinthians 1-4 // Corin Mihaila
Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; ; New York : , : T & T Clark International, , 2009
ISBN	0-567-66117-2 1-282-86878-0 9786612868788 0-567-62823-X
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (273 p.)
Collana	Library of New Testament studies ; ; 402 T & T Clark library of biblical studies
Disciplina	227.206
Soggetti	Rhetoric in the Bible Rhetoric, Ancient
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Revised version of the author's thesis (Ph. D.)--Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary, 2006.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (pages [221]-246) and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction -- Chapter 1: The Literary and Thematic Unity of 1 Corinthians 1-4 -- Chapter 2: The Social and Rhetorical Background of 1 Corinthians 1-4 -- Chapter 3: Paul and Sophistic Rhetoric in 1 Corinthians 1-4 -- Chapter 5: Apollos' Function in 1 Corinthians 1-4 -- Summary and Conclusion -- Bibliography.
Sommario/riassunto	"Research into the social and rhetorical background of the Corinthian church, shows that the Corinthians were evaluating their leaders based on their rhetorical prowess, seeking to associate with those who would enhance their status and honour. The coherence of Paul's argument in 1 Corinthians 1-4 is evaluated, particularly by showing how Paul's discourse of the cross and Sophia relate to the issue of the dissensions in the Corinthian ekklesia. Once demonstrated that there is a misunderstanding of wisdom amongst church leaders at the basis of the dissensions, a redefinition of the wisdom offered in Corinthians is required. In what could be considered the locus of Paul's theology of proclamation (i.e., 1 Corinthians 2:1-5), he rejects any employment of

worldly wisdom in his proclamation of the cross for theological reasons and will not allow himself or other leaders to be drawn into this game of personality cult and honour enhancement. Such conclusions then raise the question of the role played by Apollos' name in Paul's argument against dissensions. After a review of several possible views, it is concluded based primarily on exegetical grounds and refusing to engage in hermeneutical speculations that Paul had a congenial relationship with Apollos. If any distinction is drawn between the two, it was solely the Corinthians' fault, who viewed their preachers in competitive rather than complementary terms."--Bloomsbury Publishing.

---