Record Nr.	UNINA9910822007203321
Titolo	Information structure and reference tracking in complex sentences / / edited by Rik van Gijn [and four others]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam, [Netherlands];; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:,: John Benjamins Publishing Company,, 2014 ©2014
ISBN	90-272-7075-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (415 p.)
Collana	Typological studies in language
Altri autori (Persone)	GijnRik van
Disciplina	428.2
Soggetti	English language - Sentences English language - Reference
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references at the end of each chapters and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	Information Structure and Reference Trackingin Complex Sentences; Editorial page; Title page; LCC data; Table of contents; Information structure and reference tracking in complex sentences; 1. Information structure and reference tracking; 2. Complex sentences; 3. Information structure and complex sentences; 3.1 External IS in Daughter subordination; 3.2 External IS in Ad-subordination; 3.3 Internal IS; 3.3.1 Internal IS in Daughter subordination; 3.4 Dependent non-subordinate elements; 4. Reference tracking in complex sentences 4.1 Freedom of referential choice (restricted - unrestricted)4.2 Parameter 1 - Encoding strategy (reduction - preservation - addition); 4.3 Parameter 2 - Referential interpretation domain (self-contained versus non-local); 4.4 Parameter 3 - locus of marking (main versus dependent; initial versus non-initial); 4.5 Parameter 4 - the nature of controllers and pivots: Syntactic, sematic, pragmatic; 5. RT, IS, and other discourse factors; 6. Switch reference beyond the sentence; Abbreviations; References; Subordinate Clauses and exclusive focus in Makhuwa; 1. Introduction 2. The conjoint-disjoint alternation2.1 Followed by a noun; 2.2 Followed by an adverb; 3. Situatives; 4. Focused subordinate clauses;

1.

4.1 Focused Situatives; 4.2 Relative clauses; 5. Focus, presupposition and incomplete clauses; 6. Summary and further questions; Abbreviations: References: Left dislocation and subordinationin Avatime (Kwa); 1. Introduction; 1.1 Theoretical background; 1.2 Avatime; 1.3 Methods; 2. Left dislocation; 2.1 Form; 2.1.1 Properties of left dislocation; 2.1.2 No resumptive pronoun; 2.1.3 Subjects; 2.2 Function; 2.2.1 Theoretical background; 2.2.2 Avatime; 2.3 Summary 3. Subordination 3.1 Complement clauses; 3.2 Relative clauses; 3.3 Adverbial clauses: 3.3.1 Temporal and conditional clauses: 3.3.1.1 The conjunction gi. Constructions in which a word for 'time' is modified by a relative clause, such as (29) could be classified as temporal adverbial clauses. This type of clause is likely at the origin of Avatime temporal clauses introduced with; 3.3.1.2 The conjunction xe. The particle xe is a broadly used conjunction that indicates temporal and conditional clauses, but also coordination. In this section I will concentrate on the subordinating functions.

3.3.2 Purpose clauses3.3.3 Reason clauses; 3.4 Summary; 4. Left dislocation and subordination; 4.1 Data; 4.2 Analyis; 4.2.1 Function; 4.2.2 Syntax; 5. Conclusion and discussion; Abbreviations; References; Chechen extraposition as an information ordering strategy; 1. Introduction; 2. Basic concepts; 2.1 Focus and word order; 2.2 The postverbal position; 2.3 Relative clauses; 3. Focus and relative clauses; 3.1 Extraposition of relative clauses; 3.2 Extraposition and focus; 4. Corpus investigation; 4.1 Canonical relative clauses; 4.2 Extraposed relative clauses

4.2.1 Finding extraposed relative clauses

Sommario/riassunto

This paper discusses argument marking and reference tracking in Mekens complex clauses and their correlation to information structure. The distribution of pronominal arguments in Mekens simple clauses follows an absolutive pattern with main verbs. Complex clauses maintain the morphological absolutive argument marking, but show a nominative pattern with respect to argument reference tracking, since transitive and intransitive subjects function as syntactic pivots. The language extends the use of argument-marking verb morphology to control the reference of discourse participants across clauses.